arXiv:0710.5741v1 [hep-th] 30 Oct 2007

Study of the nonlocal gauge invariant mass operator $\mathrm{Tr}\int d^4x F_{\mu\nu}(D^2)^{-1}F_{\mu\nu}$ in the maximal Abelian gauge

M.A.L. Capri[a∗], **V.E.R. Lemes**[a†],
R.F. Sobreiro[b‡], **S.P. Sorella**[a§ ¶], **R. Thibes**[a‖]

[a] *UERJ – Universidade do Estado do Rio de Janeiro,*
Instituto de Física, Departamento de Física Teórica,
Rua São Francisco Xavier 524, 20550-013 Maracanã, Rio de Janeiro, Brasil

[b] *CBPF – Centro Brasileiro de Pesquisas Físicas,*
Rua Xavier Sigaud 150, 22290-180, Urca, Rio de Janeiro, Brasil

Abstract

The nonlocal gauge invariant mass operator $\mathrm{Tr}\int d^4x\, F_{\mu\nu}(D^2)^{-1}F_{\mu\nu}$ is investigated in Yang-Mills theories in the maximal Abelian gauge. By means of the introduction of auxiliary fields a local action is achieved, enabling us to use the algebraic renormalization in order to prove the renormalizability of the resulting local model to all orders of perturbation theory.

1 Introduction

One of the major open problems in quantum field theory is the understanding of nonabelian gauge theories, and consequently of quantum chromodynamics (QCD), in the infrared regime. The confinement phenomenon of quarks and gluons is not yet clearly established from the theoretical point of view and still waits for a satisfactory explanation.

The Yang-Mills (YM) theories are described by the following Euclidean action

$$S_{\mathrm{YM}} = \frac{1}{4}\int d^4x\, F^A_{\mu\nu}F^A_{\mu\nu}\ , \tag{1}$$

where $F^A_{\mu\nu}$ is the field strength

$$F^A_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu A^A_\nu - \partial_\nu A^A_\mu + gf^{ABC}A^B_\mu A^C_\nu\ . \tag{2}$$

Here f^{ABC} are the structure constants of the gauge group $SU(N)$ with $A = 1, \ldots, N^2-1$, and g is the coupling constant. At high energies, the running coupling constant is sufficiently small to allow for a perturbative description, as expressed by the asymptotic freedom [1, 2]. However, when one lowers the energy, the running coupling constant grows, causing perturbation theory to fail, so that nonperturbative techniques are required.

[∗] marcio@dft.if.uerj.br

[†] vitor@dft.if.uerj.br

[‡] sobreiro@cbpf.br

[§] sorella@uerj.br

[¶] Work supported by FAPERJ, Fundação de Amparo à Pesquisa do Estado do Rio de Janeiro, under the program *Cientista do Nosso Estado*, E-26/100.615/2007.

[‖] thibes@dft.if.uerj.br

To deal with this problem, different approaches have been considered. For example, in the Landau gauge, several analytic results have been obtained on the infrared behavior of the propagators of the theory, see for instance [3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11]. In this gauge, lattice simulations have confirmed an infrared suppressed gluon propagator exhibiting violation of positivity [12, 13, 14, 15, 16], a feature interpreted as a signal of confinement. In particular, the fitting of the lattice data for the gluon propagator are usually accomplished with the aid of several mass parameters [12, 17, 18], whose theoretical interpretation is still under investigation. So far, two possible origins could be suggested for such massive parameters, namely: the existence of the Gribov copies [3] and the condensation of suitable dimension two operators built up with gluon and ghost fields [19, 20, 21]. In this work we shall focus on dimension two operators built up with gluon fields only, see [22, 23] for a recent discussion of ghost condensation in the Landau and maximal Abelian gauges.

The massive Gribov parameter γ, which is fixed by a gap equation, follows from the restriction of the domain of integration in the Feynman path integral to the so called Gribov region [3, 4, 5]. This restriction is needed in order to deal with the problem of the Gribov copies and may be implemented through the introduction in the YM action of a nonlocal term, known as the Zwanziger horizon function [4]. However, there is still room for additional mass parameters. Therefore, the possibility of the condensation of dimension two operators, giving rise to a dynamically generated mass for the gluons, has been taken into account. Let us also mention that, besides the lattice data, the introduction of an effective gluon mass turns out to be useful also from the phenomenological point of view, see for example [24, 25, 26]. In particular, in the Landau gauge, the dimension two operator $A^A_\mu A^A_\mu$ was proven to be multiplicatively renormalizable to all orders [27]. In [21, 28, 29, 30], an effective potential for $A^A_\mu A^A_\mu$ was constructed. The formation of a nonvanishing condensate $\langle A^A_\mu A^A_\mu \rangle$, resulting in a dynamical effective gluon mass, turned out to be energetically favored.

Besides the Landau gauge, other gauge fixings have been considered. We mention the recent analysis of dimension two operators in the Curci-Ferrari [31] and general linear covariant gauges [32, 33]. In [31], an effective potential was constructed for the on-shell BRST invariant operator $(\frac{1}{2}A^A_\mu A^A_\mu + \alpha \bar{c}^A \bar{c}^A)$ in the Curci-Ferrari gauge while, in [32, 33], a detailed study of the already mentioned operator $A^A_\mu A^A_\mu$ was performed in the linear covariant gauges. As a result, in [33], it was shown that the gluons do acquire a dynamical mass since the formation of $\langle A^A_\mu A^A_\mu \rangle$ is energetically favored. Another interesting gauge which is receiving increasing attention in the last years is the maximal Abelian gauge (MAG) [34, 35, 36]. Several results have already been established in this gauge, both from theoretical [37, 38, 39, 40, 41] and lattice [42, 43, 44] points of view. The MAG is well suited for the study of special aspects of infrared QCD and color confinement as, for instance, the dual superconductivity and the so called Abelian dominance. The dual superconductivity mechanism [45, 46, 47] asserts that the low energy regime of Yang-Mills theories should exhibit monopoles as vacuum configurations. The condensation of these magnetic charges might give rise to a dual Meissner effect in the chromoelectric sector. As for the Abelian dominance hypothesis [48], the infrared limit of QCD should be described by an effective theory constructed only from Abelian degrees of freedom, identified with the diagonal components of the gauge field, corresponding to the generators of the Cartan subgroup of the gauge group. Lattice numerical simulations in the MAG have reported significant differences between the diagonal and and off-diagonal components of the gluon propagator [42, 43, 44]. In particular, the off-diagonal gluon propagator displays a mass greater than that reported for the diagonal component, corroborating in fact the Abelian dominance hypothesis. In an attempt to understand those lattice results in the MAG, also here the condensation of dimension two operators has been considered. In [37, 49], a dynamical mass generation mechanism for the off-diagonal gluons was proposed in the MAG, by means of the condensation of the operator $\langle \frac{1}{2}A^a_\mu A^a_\mu + \alpha \bar{c}^a \bar{c}^a \rangle$[1].

As the reader may have noticed, the dimension two operators mentioned above are gauge dependent, being related to specific choices of the gauge fixing. This is a consequence of the fact that a *local gauge invariant* dimension two operator is not available in YM theories. Still, the condensation of these operators might be taken as evidence in favor of the existence of a more fundamental *gauge invariant* operator. However, willing to preserve gauge invariance, we are led to give up of the locality requirement. The price one has to pay for that is that nonlocal operators are difficult to be handled within a consistent renormalizable framework. So far, several possibilities have been considered. The first proposal for a condensate of dimension two was made by [19, 20], who considered the nonlocal gauge invariant operator $A^2_{\rm min}$, obtained by minimizing the operator $A^A_\mu A^A_\mu$ along the gauge orbit, namely

---

[1] Here the index a runs only on the off-diagonal components, see the beginning of the next section for the notations.

$$A^2_{\rm min} = \min_{\{U\}} \int d^4x \; Tr \left(A^U_\mu \right)^2 \;, \tag{3}$$

where U represents an element of the gauge group $SU(N)$, $A^U_\mu = U A_\mu U^{-1} + iU\partial_\mu U^{-1}$. However, for a generic choice of the gauge fixing, the operator $A^2_{\rm min}$ proves to be very difficult to be handled at quantum level. Expanding $A^2_{\rm min}$ in a power series in the gauge field, see for example [50], one obtains

$$A^2_{\rm min} = \int d^4x \left[A^A_\mu \left(\delta_{\mu\nu} - \frac{\partial_\mu \partial_\nu}{\partial^2} \right) A^A_\nu - g f^{ABC} \left(\frac{\partial_\nu}{\partial^2} \partial A^A \right) \left(\frac{1}{\partial^2} \partial A^B \right) A^C_\nu \right] + O(A^4) \;. \tag{4}$$

The series (4) consists of an infinite number of nonlocal terms. So far, a consistent treatment for $A^2_{\rm min}$ has been achieved only in the Landau gauge, $\partial_\mu A^A_\mu = 0$, where all the non-local terms vanish and (4) simplifies to the already mentioned operator $\int d^4x \left(A^A_\mu \right)^2$. Let us also quote here that, in a generic linear covariant gauge, the anomalous dimension of $A^2_{\rm min}$ has been calculated at one loop order in [51].

More recently, we have pointed out [52] that another kind of gauge invariant nonlocal operator might be relevant, namely

$$\mathcal{O} = {\rm Tr} \int d^4x F_{\mu\nu} (D^2)^{-1} F_{\mu\nu} \;. \tag{5}$$

Unlike expression (3), the operator (5) has the advantage of being localizable by means of the introduction of a suitable set of auxiliary fields. Firstly introduced in the case of 3d YM [54], the operator $\mathcal{O}$ has received renewed interest in the context of 4d YM. In fact, we have been able to show that, when cast in local form, it gives rise to a local action which can be proven to be renormalizable to all orders in the general class of the linear covariant gauges [50]. Moreover, in [55], the anomalous dimension of (5) has been evaluated at the two loop order in the $\bar{M}S$ scheme and explicitly proven to be independent from the gauge parameter. Also, in the case of the Landau gauge, it has been shown [53] that the inclusion of Zwanziger's horizon function does not spoil the renormalizability of (5).

Despite the progress already achieved in the Landau and covariant linear gauges, a detailed analysis of the gauge invariant operator $\mathcal{O}$ in the MAG is still lacking. The main task of the present paper is to fill this gap, *i.e.* to achieve a local and renormalizable framework for $\mathcal{O}$ in the MAG. In particular, the manifest gauge invariance of the operator $\mathcal{O}$ might be useful in order to improve our present understanding of issues like the Abelian dominance and the dynamical gluon mass generation in the MAG.

This paper is organized as follows. In section **2**, by means of the introduction of auxiliary fields, we obtain a local action for YM theories in the MAG, in the presence of the mass operator (5). Moreover, the embedding of the resulting local theory in a more general action, enables us to make use of the BRST transformations. In section **3** we obtain the full set of Ward identities fulfilled by the starting action. Section **4** is devoted to the proof of the renormalizability of this action to all orders of perturbation theory. We obtain the most general invariant counterterm and we prove that it can be reabsorbed by means of a redefinition of fields and parameters of the starting action. The last section collects our conclusions. Appendix **A** contains a detailed discussion of the mass operator (5) in the presence of the horizon function for the MAG.

2 Local action in the maximal Abelian gauge

As is well known, the action (1) is left invariant by the gauge transformations

$$\delta_\omega A^A_\mu = -D^{AB}_\mu \omega^B \;, \tag{6}$$

for arbitrary $\omega^A(x)$. In order to quantize the theory, one must fix the gauge. As we shall choose an Abelian gauge, we decompose the field $\mathcal{A}_\mu$ as

$$\mathcal{A}_\mu = A^A_\mu T^A \equiv A^a_\mu T^a + A^i_\mu T^i \;, \tag{7}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned}
\left[T^a, T^b\right] &= igf^{abc}T^c + igf^{abi}T^i \ , && (8)\\
\left[T^i, T^a\right] &= igf^{iab}T^b \ , && (9)\\
\left[T^i, T^j\right] &= 0 \ . && (10)
\end{aligned}$$

The index $i = 1, \ldots, N-1$ labels the $N-1$ diagonal generators $\{T^i\}$ of the Cartan subalgebra of $SU(N)$, while the index $a = 1, \ldots, N(N-1)$ labels the remaining off-diagonal generators $\{T^a\}$.

Accordingly, the field strength decomposes as

$$\begin{aligned}
F^a_{\mu\nu} &= D^{ab}_\mu A^b_\nu - D^{ab}_\nu A^b_\mu + gf^{abc}A^b_\mu A^c_\nu \ , && (11)\\
F^i_{\mu\nu} &= \partial_\mu A^i_\nu - \partial_\nu A^i_\mu + gf^{abi}A^a_\mu A^b_\nu \ , && (12)
\end{aligned}$$

where we have introduced the covariant derivative D^{ab}_μ with respect to the diagonal components A^i_μ of the gauge field, namely

$$D^{ab}_\mu \equiv \delta^{ab}\partial_\mu - gf^{abi}A^i_\mu \ . \tag{13}$$

2.1 Gauge fixing

The MAG [34, 35, 36] is obtained by requiring that the functional

$$\mathcal{R}[A] = \int d^4x \ A^a_\mu A^a_\mu \tag{14}$$

is stationary with respect to the gauge transformations. Observe that expression (14) depends only on the off-diagonal components of the gauge field. The vanishing of the first variation of $\mathcal{R}$ leads to the non-linear condition

$$D^{ab}_\mu A^b_\mu = 0 \ . \tag{15}$$

Still, it remains to choose a gauge condition for the diagonal components A^i_μ of the gauge field. We shall impose a Landau type condition, also employed in lattice numerical simulations, *i.e.*

$$\partial_\mu A^i_\mu = 0 \ . \tag{16}$$

The conditions (15,16) are implemented by adding the following gauge fixing term to the Yang-Mills action

$$\begin{aligned}
S_{\mathrm{MAG}} &= \int d^4x \ \big(b^a D^{ab}_\mu A^b_\mu + \bar{c}^a D^{ab}_\mu D^{bc}_\mu c^c + gf^{abi}\bar{c}^a (D^{bc}_\mu A^c_\mu)c^i + \bar{c}^a D^{ab}_\mu (gf^{bcd}A^c_\mu c^d) \\
&\qquad -g^2 f^{abi} f^{cdi}\bar{c}^a c^d A^b_\mu A^c_\mu + b^i \partial_\mu A^i_\mu + \bar{c}^i \partial_\mu(\partial_\mu c^i + gf^{abi}A^a_\mu c^b)\big) \\
&\quad +\alpha \int d^4x \ \left(\frac{1}{2} b^a b^a - gf^{abi} b^a \bar{c}^b c^i - \frac{g}{2} f^{abc} b^a \bar{c}^b c^c - \frac{g^2}{4} f^{abi} f^{cdi} \bar{c}^a \bar{c}^b c^c c^d \right. \\
&\qquad \left. - \frac{g^2}{4} f^{abc} f^{adi} \bar{c}^b \bar{c}^c c^d c^i - \frac{g^2}{8} f^{abc} f^{ade} \bar{c}^b \bar{c}^c c^d c^e \right) \ ,
\end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

where $b^A \equiv (b^a, b^i)$ are the Nakanishi-Lautrup fields, and $c^A = (c^a, c^i)$, $\bar{c}^A \equiv (\bar{c}^a, \bar{c}^i)$ are the ghost and antighost fields. The gauge parameter α is introduced in (17) for renormalizability purposes. As a consequence of the non-linearity of condition (15), the quartic interaction ghost terms in expression (17) is required in order to obtain a stable action [37, 56, 57]. After the removal of the ultraviolet divergences, the limit $\alpha \to 0$ has to be considered in order to achieve (15).

In non-Abelian gauge theories one has to face the existence of the Gribov ambiguities [3], which deeply affect the infrared region. In the MAG, it is known that condition (15) does not uniquely fix the gauge [40], so that a suitable restriction of the domain of integration in the Feynman path integral has to be implemented in order to avoid the counting of equivalent field configurations. The renormalization of the operator (5) has already been investigated in the Landau gauge [53] when the restriction of the domain of integration to the so called Gribov region was taken into account. In [53], it was explicitly shown that the introduction of the Zwanziger horizon function [4, 5], which implements the restriction to the Gribov region, does not spoil the renormalizability of (5). The same feature occurs here in the MAG. However, for simplicity, we have decided of not including in the main text the lengthy and technical analysis of the mass operator (5) in the presence of the horizon function of the MAG, leaving the inclusion of the horizon term to Appendix **A**, where the interested reader may find a detailed discussion.

2.2 Localizing the mass operator

In [50], it has been shown that the nonlocal mass term (5) may be written in a local form by means of the introduction of a pair of complex bosonic antisymmetric tensor fields in the adjoint representation, $(B^A_{\mu\nu}, \bar{B}^A_{\mu\nu})$, and a pair of anticommuting antisymmetric complex tensor fields, $(G^A_{\mu\nu}, \bar{G}^A_{\mu\nu})$. Following [50], the nonlocal operator $\mathcal{O}$, eq.(5), is coupled to the Yang-Mills action by introducing the gauge invariant mass term

$$S_{\mathcal{O}} = -\frac{m^2}{4}\int d^4x\, F^A_{\mu\nu}\left[(D^2)^{-1}\right]^{AB} F^B_{\mu\nu} \; . \tag{18}$$

Furthermore, it is easily checked that expression (18) can be rewritten in local form as

$$e^{-S_{\mathcal{O}}} = \int D\bar{B}DBD\bar{G}DG \exp\left[-(S_{BG}+S_m)\right] \; , \tag{19}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} S_{BG} &= \frac{1}{4}\int d^4x \Big(\bar{B}^A_{\mu\nu}\mathcal{O}^{AB}B^B_{\mu\nu} - \bar{G}^A_{\mu\nu}\mathcal{O}^{AB}G^B_{\mu\nu}\Big) \; , & (20)\\ S_m &= \frac{im}{4}\int d^4x\, (B^A_{\mu\nu} - \bar{B}^A_{\mu\nu})F^A_{\mu\nu} \; , & (21) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\mathcal{O}^{AB} \equiv D^{AC}_{\mu} D^{CB}_{\mu} \; . \tag{22}$$

The identity (19) allows us to localize the expression $S_{\mathcal{O}}$, (18), when added to the YM action $S_{\rm YM}$, eq.(1). Thus, for the local gauge-fixed action $S_{\rm phys}$ in the MAG we write

$$S_{\rm phys} = S_{\rm YM} + S_{\rm MAG} + S_{BG} + S_m \; . \tag{23}$$

Evidently

$$\int D\bar{B}DBD\bar{G}DG \exp\left[-S_{\rm phys}\right] = e^{-(S_{\rm YM}+S_{\rm MAG}+S_{\mathcal{O}})} \; . \tag{24}$$

2.3 BRST invariance

In order to establish the BRST invariance of the local action $S_{\rm phys}$, we shall employ here the same procedure of [5, 52, 50, 38], and we shall embed the action $S_{\rm phys}$ into a more general one. Following [5, 52, 50, 38], we introduce the system of external sources

$$(U_{\sigma\rho\mu\nu}, \bar{U}_{\sigma\rho\mu\nu}, V_{\sigma\rho\mu\nu}, \bar{V}_{\sigma\rho\mu\nu}) \tag{25}$$

and replace the term S_m in (23) by

$$S_{UV} = \frac{1}{4}\int d^4x F^A_{\mu\nu}(\bar{U}_{\lambda\rho\mu\nu}G^A_{\lambda\rho} + V_{\lambda\rho\mu\nu}\bar{B}^A_{\lambda\rho} - \bar{V}_{\lambda\rho\mu\nu}B^A_{\lambda\rho} + U_{\lambda\rho\mu\nu}\bar{G}^A_{\lambda\rho}) \; . \tag{26}$$

Notice that expression (21) is recovered from (26) in the physical limit for the sources, namely

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{V}_{\sigma\rho\mu\nu}\Big|_{\rm phys} &= V_{\sigma\rho\mu\nu}\Big|_{\rm phys} = -\frac{im}{2}(\delta_{\sigma\mu}\delta_{\rho\nu}-\delta_{\sigma\nu}\delta_{\rho\nu}) \ , \\ U_{\sigma\rho\mu\nu}\Big|_{\rm phys} &= \bar{U}_{\sigma\rho\mu\nu}\Big|_{\rm phys} = 0 \ , \end{aligned} \tag{27}$$

i.e.

$$S_{UV}\Big|_{\rm phys} = S_m \ . \tag{28}$$

Thus, action (23) is replaced by

$$S_{\rm inv} = S_{\rm YM} + S_{\rm MAG} + S_{BG} + S_{UV} \ , \tag{29}$$

which defines a more general theory and has $S_{\rm phys}$ as a particular case.

As an important bonus, we observe that $S_{\rm inv}$ is invariant under the following global $U(6)$ symmetry

$$\mathcal{Q}_{\mu\nu\lambda\rho}(S_{\rm inv}) = 0 \ , \tag{30}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{Q}_{\mu\nu\lambda\rho} \equiv & \int d^4x \left(B^A_{\mu\nu}\frac{\delta}{\delta B^A_{\lambda\rho}} - \bar{B}^A_{\lambda\rho}\frac{\delta}{\delta \bar{B}^A_{\mu\nu}} + G^A_{\mu\nu}\frac{\delta}{\delta G^A_{\lambda\rho}} - \bar{G}^A_{\lambda\rho}\frac{\delta}{\delta \bar{G}^A_{\mu\nu}} \right. \\ & \left. + U_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}\frac{\delta}{\delta U_{\lambda\rho\alpha\beta}} - \bar{U}_{\lambda\rho\alpha\beta}\frac{\delta}{\delta \bar{U}_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}} + V_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}\frac{\delta}{\delta V_{\lambda\rho\alpha\beta}} - \bar{V}_{\lambda\rho\alpha\beta}\frac{\delta}{\delta \bar{V}_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}} \right) \ . \end{aligned} \tag{31}$$

The symmetry (30) is naturally related to the mass operator and allows us to use a multi-index notation, $(\mu,\nu) \to I$, $I = 1,\ldots,6$, so that

$$(B^A_I, \bar{B}^A_I, G^A_I, \bar{G}^A_I) = \frac{1}{2}(B^A_{\mu\nu}, \bar{B}^A_{\mu\nu}, G^A_{\mu\nu}, \bar{G}^A_{\mu\nu}) \ , \tag{32}$$

$$(U_{I\mu\nu}, \bar{U}_{I\mu\nu}, V_{I\mu\nu}, \bar{V}_{I\mu\nu}) = \frac{1}{2}(U_{\sigma\rho\mu\nu}, \bar{U}_{\sigma\rho\mu\nu}, V_{\sigma\rho\mu\nu}, \bar{V}_{\sigma\rho\mu\nu}) \ . \tag{33}$$

The use of the multi-index I will turn out to be very useful when looking for combinations of possible counterterms respecting (30). With this notation, we may rewrite the action (29) as

$$\begin{aligned} S_{\rm inv} &= S_{\rm YM} + S_{\rm MAG} + \int d^4x (\bar{B}^A_I \mathcal{O}^{AB} B^B_I - \bar{G}^A_I \mathcal{O}^{AB} G^B_I \\ &+ F^A_{\mu\nu}(\bar{U}_{I\mu\nu} G^A_I + V_{I\mu\nu}\bar{B}^A_I - \bar{V}_{I\mu\nu} B^A_I + U_{I\mu\nu}\bar{G}^A_I)) \ . \end{aligned} \tag{34}$$

The introduction of the system of sources (25) enables us to establish that the action (34) possesses a BRST invariance. In fact, it can be checked by direct inspection that the following nilpotent transformations

$$\begin{aligned} sA^a_\mu &= -(D^{ab}_\mu c^b + gf^{abc}A^b_\mu c^c + gf^{abi}A^b_\mu c^i) \ , \\ sA^i_\mu &= -(\partial_\mu c^i + gf^{abi}A^a_\mu c^b) \ , \\ sc^a &= gf^{abi}c^b c^i + \frac{g}{2}f^{abc}c^b c^c \ , \\ sc^i &= \frac{g}{2}f^{abi}c^a c^b \ , \\ s\bar{c}^A &= b^A \ , \qquad sb^A = 0 \ , \\ sB^A_I &= G^A_I + gf^{ABC}c^B B^C_I \ , \\ s\bar{B}^A_I &= gf^{ABC}c^B \bar{B}^C_I \ , \\ sG^A_I &= gf^{ABC}c^B G^C_I \ , \\ s\bar{G}^A_I &= \bar{B}^A_I + gf^{ABC}c^B \bar{G}^C_I \ , \\ sV_{I\mu\nu} &= U_{I\mu\nu} \ , \qquad sU_{I\mu\nu} = 0 \ , \\ s\bar{U}_{I\mu\nu} &= \bar{V}_{I\mu\nu} \ , \qquad s\bar{V}_{I\mu\nu} = 0 \ . \end{aligned} \tag{35}$$

leave the action (34) invariant. In particular, we can rewrite

$$S_{\rm MAG} = s\int d^4x \left[\bar{c}^a\left(D^{ab}_\mu + \frac{\alpha}{2}b^a\right) - \frac{\alpha}{2}gf^{abi}\bar{c}^a\bar{c}^b c^i - \frac{\alpha}{4}gf^{abc}c^a\bar{c}^b\bar{c}^c + \bar{c}^i\partial_\mu A^i_\mu\right] \ . \tag{36}$$

3 Identification of the complete starting action and its symmetries

In order to prove the renormalizability of the action $S_{\rm inv}$, eq.(34), we shall make use of the algebraic renormalization technique [58]. To that purpose, we shall introduce a set of BRST sources and we shall establish the Ward identities fulfilled by the theory. The knowledge of these Ward identities will play a central role in the determination of the most general allowed invariant counterterm.

3.1 Starting action

As is well known, due to the nonlinear character of the BRST transformations, eqs.(35), one has to introduce a set of external sources, $(X^A_I, \bar{X}^A_I, Y^A_I, \bar{Y}^A_I, \Omega^A_\mu, L^A)$, coupled to them, namely

$$\begin{aligned} S_{\rm ext} \;=\; & \int d^4x \left[-\Omega^a_\mu (D^{ab}_\mu c^b + g f^{abc} A^b_\mu c^c + g f^{abi} A^b_\mu c^i) - \Omega^i_\mu (\partial_\mu c^i + g f^{abi} A^a_\mu c^b) + L^a \left(g f^{abi} c^b c^i + \frac{g}{2} f^{abc} c^b c^c \right) \right. \\ & \left. + \frac{g}{2} f^{abi} L^i c^a c^b + \bar{Y}^A_I g f^{ABC} c^B B^C_I + Y^A_I g f^{ABC} c^B \bar{B}^C_I + \bar{X}^A_I g f^{ABC} c^B G^C_I + X^A_I g f^{ABC} c^B \bar{G}^C_I \right] , \end{aligned} \tag{37}$$

Further, we introduce the following two terms, S_λ and $S_{\rm sources}$ to be added to the action $S_{\rm inv}$:

$$\begin{aligned} S_\lambda \;=\; & \int d^4x \left\{ \lambda_1 (\bar{B}^A_I B^A_I - \bar{G}^A_I G^A_I)(\bar{V}_{J\mu\nu} V_{J\mu\nu} - \bar{U}_{J\mu\nu} U_{J\mu\nu}) \right. \\ & + \lambda_2 \Big(\bar{B}^A_I G^A_J V_{I\mu\nu} \bar{U}_{J\mu\nu} + \bar{G}^A_I G^A_J U_{I\mu\nu} \bar{U}_{J\mu\nu} + \bar{B}^A_I B^A_J V_{I\mu\nu} \bar{V}_{J\mu\nu} - \bar{G}^A_I B^A_J U_{I\mu\nu} \bar{V}_{J\mu\nu} - G^A_I B^A_J \bar{U}_{I\mu\nu} \bar{V}_{J\mu\nu} \\ & + \bar{G}^A_I \bar{B}^A_J U_{I\mu\nu} V_{J\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} B^A_I B^A_J \bar{V}_{I\mu\nu} \bar{V}_{J\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{2} G^A_I G^A_J \bar{U}_{I\mu\nu} \bar{U}_{J\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} \bar{B}^A_I \bar{B}^A_J V_{I\mu\nu} V_{J\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{2} \bar{G}^A_I \bar{G}^A_J U_{I\mu\nu} U_{J\mu\nu} \Big) \\ & \left. + \frac{\lambda^{ABCD}}{16} (\bar{B}^A_I B^B_I - \bar{G}^A_I G^B_I)(\bar{B}^C_J B^D_J - \bar{G}^C_J G^D_J) \right\} , \end{aligned} \tag{38}$$

$$\begin{aligned} S_{\rm sources} \;=\; & \int d^4x \Big[\chi_1 (\bar{V}_{I\mu\nu} \partial^2 V_{I\mu\nu} - \bar{U}_{I\mu\nu} \partial^2 U_{I\mu\nu}) + \chi_2 (\bar{V}_{I\mu\nu} \partial_\mu \partial_\sigma V_{I\nu\sigma} - \bar{U}_{I\mu\nu} \partial_\mu \partial_\sigma U_{I\nu\sigma}) \\ & - \zeta (\bar{U}_{I\mu\nu} U_{I\mu\nu} \bar{U}_{J\sigma\rho} U_{J\sigma\rho} + \bar{V}_{I\mu\nu} V_{I\mu\nu} \bar{V}_{J\sigma\rho} V_{J\sigma\rho} - 2 \bar{U}_{I\mu\nu} U_{I\mu\nu} \bar{V}_{J\sigma\rho} V_{J\sigma\rho}) \Big] . \end{aligned} \tag{39}$$

The first term, S_λ, already discussed in detail in [50, 55], contains interactions between the auxiliary fields and the sources $(U_{I\mu\nu}, \bar{U}_{I\mu\nu}, V_{I\mu\nu} \bar{V}_{I\mu\nu})$, and is needed for the stability of the action. The second term, $S_{\rm sources}$, depends only from $(U_{I\mu\nu}, \bar{U}_{I\mu\nu}, V_{I\mu\nu} \bar{V}_{I\mu\nu})$ and is allowed by power counting. The parameters λ_1, λ_2, χ_1, χ_2 and ζ are free, while the 4-rank invariant tensor λ^{ABCD} enjoys the properties [50]

$$f^{MAN} \lambda^{MBCD} + f^{MBN} \lambda^{AMCD} + f^{MCN} \lambda^{ABMD} + f^{MDN} \lambda^{ABCM} = 0 , \tag{40}$$

and

$$\lambda^{ABCD} = \lambda^{CDAB} = \lambda^{BACD} . \tag{41}$$

Collecting all terms, we finally write the starting action Σ as

$$\Sigma = S_{\rm inv} + S_\lambda + S_{\rm ext} + S_{\rm sources} . \tag{42}$$

The stability of Σ under quantum corrections will be investigated in the next section.

Here, for the sake of clarity, we recall the range of variations of all the indices introduced so far;

$$\begin{aligned} A, B, C, D, \ldots \;&\in\; \{1, \ldots, N^2 - 1\} , \\ a, b, c, d, \ldots \;&\in\; \{1, \ldots, N(N-1)\} , \\ i, j, k, l, \ldots \;&\in\; \{1, \ldots, N - 1\} , \\ I, J, K, L \ldots \;&\in\; \{1, \ldots, \tfrac{1}{2} D(D-1) = 6\} , \\ \mu, \nu, \sigma, \rho, \ldots \;&\in\; \{1, \ldots, D = 4\} . \end{aligned} \tag{43}$$

	A	b	$\bar{c}$	c	B	$\bar{B}$	G	$\bar{G}$	U	$\bar{U}$	V	$\bar{V}$	Y	$\bar{Y}$	X	$\bar{X}$	Ω	L
dim	1	2	2	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	3	3	3	3	3	4
gh. number	0	0	−1	1	0	0	1	−1	1	−1	0	0	−1	−1	−2	0	−1	−2
$\mathcal{Q}_6$-charge	0	0	0	0	1	−1	1	−1	1	−1	1	−1	1	−1	1	−1	0	0

Table 1: Quantum numbers of the fields and sources

In table (1) we display the dimensions and the ghost number of the complete set of fields and sources of the theory, as well as the corresponding $\mathcal{Q}_6$-charge, which is defined as the trace of the of the operator (31).

The starting action (42) is left invariant by the action of the nilpotent BRST operator s given by (35) and by

$$
\begin{aligned}
s\Omega^A_\mu &= 0 \,, \qquad sL^A = 0 \,, \\
sY^A_I &= X^A_I \,, \qquad sX^A_I = 0 \,, \\
s\bar{X}^A_I &= -\bar{Y}^A_I \,, \qquad s\bar{Y}^A_I = 0 \,,
\end{aligned} \tag{44}
$$

i.e.

$$
s\Sigma = 0 \ . \tag{45}
$$

3.2 Ward identities

In order to constrain the possible counterterms which can be added to the local starting action Σ, eq.(42), let us proceed by establishing the set of Ward identities fulfilled by the starting classical action. In fact, it turns out that Σ obeys the following set of Ward identities:

- The diagonal gauge fixing identity:

$$
\frac{\delta\Sigma}{\delta b^i} = \partial_\mu A^i_\mu \ . \tag{46}
$$

- The diagonal anti-ghost equation:

$$
\frac{\delta\Sigma}{\delta\bar{c}^i} + \partial_\mu \frac{\delta\Sigma}{\delta\Omega^i_\mu} = 0 \ . \tag{47}
$$

- The diagonal ghost equation:

$$
\mathcal{G}^i(\Sigma) = \Delta^i_{\rm class} \ , \tag{48}
$$

  where

$$
\mathcal{G}^i = \frac{\delta}{\delta c^i} + g f^{abi}\bar{c}^a \frac{\delta}{\delta b^b} \ , \tag{49}
$$

  and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta^i_{\rm class} \; = \; & -\partial^2\bar{c}^i - \partial_\mu\Omega^i + gf^{abi}\Omega^a_\mu A^b_\mu - gf^{abi}L^a c^b + gf^{abi}\bar{Y}^a_I B^b_I \\
& + gf^{abi}Y^a_I \bar{B}^b_I - gf^{abi}\bar{X}^a_I G^b_I - gf^{abi}X^a_I \bar{G}^b_I \ .
\end{aligned} \tag{50}
$$

  Notice that the term $\Delta^i_{\rm class}$, being linear in the quantum fields, represents a classical breaking not affected by quantum corrections [58].

- The Slavnov-Taylor identity

$$
\mathcal{S}(\Sigma) = 0 \ , \tag{51}
$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{S}(\Sigma) \;&\equiv\; \int d^4x \left[\frac{\delta\Sigma}{\delta\Omega^A_\mu}\frac{\delta\Sigma}{\delta A^A_\mu} + \frac{\delta\Sigma}{\delta L^A}\frac{\delta\Sigma}{\delta c^A} + b^A\frac{\delta\Sigma}{\delta\bar{c}^A} + \left(\frac{\delta\Sigma}{\delta\bar{Y}^A_I} + G^A_I\right)\frac{\delta\Sigma}{\delta B^A_I} + \frac{\delta\Sigma}{\delta Y^A_I}\frac{\delta\Sigma}{\delta\bar{B}^A_I} + \frac{\delta\Sigma}{\delta\bar{X}^A_I}\frac{\delta\Sigma}{\delta G^A_I}\right.\\ &\left.+\left(\frac{\delta\Sigma}{\delta X^A_I} + \bar{B}^A_I\right)\frac{\delta\Sigma}{\delta\bar{G}^A_I} + \bar{V}_{I\mu\nu}\frac{\delta\Sigma}{\delta\bar{U}_{I\mu\nu}} + U_{I\mu\nu}\frac{\delta\Sigma}{\delta V_{I\mu\nu}} - \bar{Y}^A_I\frac{\delta\Sigma}{\delta\bar{X}^A_I} + X^A_I\frac{\delta\Sigma}{\delta Y^A_I}\right] .\end{aligned} \tag{52}$$

The Slavnov-Taylor identity (51) gives rise to the corresponding nilpotent linearized operator

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{S}_\Sigma \;&\equiv\; \int d^4x \left[\frac{\delta\Sigma}{\delta\Omega^A_\mu}\frac{\delta}{\delta A^A_\mu} + \frac{\delta\Sigma}{\delta A^A_\mu}\frac{\delta}{\delta\Omega^A_\mu} + \frac{\delta\Sigma}{\delta L^A}\frac{\delta}{\delta c^A} + \frac{\delta\Sigma}{\delta c^A}\frac{\delta}{\delta L^A} + b^A\frac{\delta}{\delta\bar{c}^A}\right.\\ &+\left(\frac{\delta\Sigma}{\delta\bar{Y}^A_I} + G^A_I\right)\frac{\delta}{\delta B^A_I} + \frac{\delta\Sigma}{\delta B^A_I}\frac{\delta}{\delta\bar{Y}^A_I} + \frac{\delta\Sigma}{\delta Y^A_I}\frac{\delta}{\delta\bar{B}^A_I} + \left(\frac{\delta\Sigma}{\delta\bar{B}^A_I} + X^A_I\right)\frac{\delta}{\delta Y^A_I}\\ &+\frac{\delta\Sigma}{\delta\bar{X}^A_I}\frac{\delta}{\delta G^A_I} + \frac{\delta\Sigma}{\delta\bar{X}^A_I}\frac{\delta}{\delta G^A_I} + \left(\frac{\delta\Sigma}{\delta G^A_I} - \bar{Y}^A_I\right)\frac{\delta}{\delta\bar{X}^A_I} + \left(\frac{\delta\Sigma}{\delta X^A_I} + \bar{B}^A_I\right)\frac{\delta}{\delta\bar{G}^A_I}\\ &\left.+\frac{\delta\Sigma}{\delta\bar{G}^A_I}\frac{\delta}{\delta X^A_I} + \bar{V}_{I\mu\nu}\frac{\delta}{\delta\bar{U}_{I\mu\nu}} + U_{I\mu\nu}\frac{\delta}{\delta V_{I\mu\nu}}\right] ,\end{aligned} \tag{53}$$

$$\mathcal{S}_\Sigma\mathcal{S}_\Sigma = 0 . \tag{54}$$

- The diagonal $U(1)^{N-1}$ Ward identity:

$$\mathcal{W}^i(\Sigma) = -\partial^2 b^i , \tag{55}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{W}^i \;&\equiv\; \partial_\mu\frac{\delta}{\delta A^i_\mu} + gf^{abi}\left(A^a_\mu\frac{\delta}{\delta A^b_\mu} + b^a\frac{\delta}{\delta b^b} + c^a\frac{\delta}{\delta c^b} + \bar{c}^a\frac{\delta}{\delta\bar{c}^b} + B^a_I\frac{\delta}{\delta B^b_I} + \bar{B}^a_I\frac{\delta}{\delta\bar{B}^b_I}\right.\\ &\left.+G^a_I\frac{\delta}{\delta G^b_I} + \bar{G}^a_I\frac{\delta}{\delta\bar{G}^b_I} + \Omega^a_\mu\frac{\delta}{\delta\Omega^b_\mu} + L^a\frac{\delta}{\delta L^b} + Y^a_I\frac{\delta}{\delta Y^b_I} + \bar{Y}^a_I\frac{\delta}{\delta\bar{Y}^b_I} + X^a_I\frac{\delta}{\delta X^b_I} + \bar{X}^a_I\frac{\delta}{\delta\bar{X}^b_I}\right) .\end{aligned} \tag{56}$$

- The off-diagonal $SL(2,\mathbb{R})$ identity:

$$\mathcal{D}(\Sigma) = 0 , \tag{57}$$

where

$$\mathcal{D}(\Sigma) = \int d^4x \left(c^a\frac{\delta\Sigma}{\delta\bar{c}^a} + \frac{\delta\Sigma}{\delta L^a}\frac{\delta\Sigma}{\delta b^a}\right) . \tag{58}$$

As the Slavnov-Taylor identity, the equation (57) also defines a linear operator:

$$\mathcal{D}_\Sigma \equiv \int d^4x \left(c^a\frac{\delta}{\delta\bar{c}^a} + \frac{\delta\Sigma}{\delta L^a}\frac{\delta}{\delta b^a} + \frac{\delta\Sigma}{\delta b^a}\frac{\delta}{\delta L^a}\right) . \tag{59}$$

- The global $U(6)$ invariance related to the nonlocal mass operator:

$$\mathcal{Q}_{IJ}(\Sigma) = 0 , \tag{60}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{Q}_{IJ} \;&\equiv\; \int d^4x \left(B^A_I\frac{\delta}{\delta B^A_J} - \bar{B}^A_J\frac{\delta}{\delta\bar{B}^A_I} + G^A_I\frac{\delta}{\delta G^A_J} - \bar{G}^A_J\frac{\delta}{\delta\bar{G}^A_I} + U_{I\mu\nu}\frac{\delta}{\delta U_{J\mu\nu}} - \bar{U}_{J\mu\nu}\frac{\delta}{\delta\bar{U}_{I\mu\nu}}\right.\\ &\left.+V_{I\mu\nu}\frac{\delta}{\delta V_{J\mu\nu}} - \bar{V}_{J\mu\nu}\frac{\delta}{\delta\bar{V}_{I\mu\nu}} + Y^A_I\frac{\delta}{\delta Y^A_J} - \bar{Y}^A_J\frac{\delta}{\delta\bar{Y}^A_I} + X^A_I\frac{\delta}{\delta X^A_J} - \bar{X}^A_J\frac{\delta}{\delta\bar{X}^A_I}\right) .\end{aligned} \tag{61}$$

The trace of (61) defines the $\mathcal{Q}_6$-*charge*, $\mathcal{Q}_6 = \mathcal{Q}_{II}$, already displayed in table (1).

- The exact rigid symmetries:

$$\mathcal{R}^{(\mathcal{N})}_{IJ}(\Sigma) = 0 \;, \tag{62}$$

being $\mathcal{N} = 1, 2, 3, 4$ and

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{R}^{(1)}_{IJ} &\equiv \int d^4x \left(B^A_I \frac{\delta}{\delta G^A_J} - \bar{G}^A_J \frac{\delta}{\delta \bar{B}^A_I} + V_{I\mu\nu} \frac{\delta}{\delta U_{J\mu\nu}} - \bar{U}_{J\mu\nu} \frac{\delta}{\delta \bar{V}_{I\mu\nu}} + Y^A_I \frac{\delta}{\delta X^A_J} + \bar{X}^A_J \frac{\delta}{\delta \bar{Y}^A_I} \right) \;, \\
\mathcal{R}^{(2)}_{IJ} &\equiv \int d^4x \left(\bar{B}^A_I \frac{\delta}{\delta \bar{G}^A_J} + G^A_J \frac{\delta}{\delta B^A_I} + \bar{V}_{I\mu\nu} \frac{\delta}{\delta \bar{U}_{J\mu\nu}} + U_{J\mu\nu} \frac{\delta}{\delta V_{I\mu\nu}} - \bar{Y}^A_I \frac{\delta}{\delta \bar{X}^A_J} + X^A_J \frac{\delta}{\delta Y^A_I} \right) \;, \\
\mathcal{R}^{(3)}_{IJ} &\equiv \int d^4x \left(\bar{B}^A_I \frac{\delta}{\delta G^A_J} - \bar{G}^A_J \frac{\delta}{\delta B^A_I} - \bar{V}_{I\mu\nu} \frac{\delta}{\delta U_{J\mu\nu}} + \bar{U}_{J\mu\nu} \frac{\delta}{\delta V_{I\mu\nu}} + \bar{Y}^A_I \frac{\delta}{\delta X^A_J} + \bar{X}^A_J \frac{\delta}{\delta Y^A_I} \right) \;, \\
\mathcal{R}^{(4)}_{IJ} &\equiv \int d^4x \left(B^A_I \frac{\delta}{\delta \bar{G}^A_J} + G^A_J \frac{\delta}{\delta \bar{B}^A_I} - V_{I\mu\nu} \frac{\delta}{\delta \bar{U}_{J\mu\nu}} - U_{J\mu\nu} \frac{\delta}{\delta \bar{V}_{I\mu\nu}} - Y^A_I \frac{\delta}{\delta \bar{X}^A_J} + X^A_J \frac{\delta}{\delta \bar{Y}^A_I} \right) \;.
\end{aligned} \tag{63}$$

For calculation purposes, we display the following useful (anti-)commutation relations between the linearized operator $\mathcal{S}_\Sigma$, given in (53), and the operators (49), (59), and (63), namely

$$\Big[\mathcal{D}_\Sigma, \mathcal{S}_\Sigma \Big] = 0 \;, \qquad \Big\{ \mathcal{G}^i, \mathcal{S}_\Sigma \Big\} = \mathcal{W}^i \;, \tag{64}$$

$$\Big\{ \mathcal{R}^{(1)}_{IJ}, \mathcal{S}_\Sigma \Big\} = \mathcal{Q}_{IJ} \;, \qquad \Big\{ \mathcal{R}^{(2)}_{IJ}, \mathcal{S}_\Sigma \Big\} = 0 \;, \tag{65}$$

$$\Big\{ \mathcal{R}^{(3)}_{IJ}, \mathcal{S}_\Sigma \Big\} = \int d^4x \; (\delta_{IK}\delta_{JL} - \delta_{IL}\delta_{JK}) \left(\bar{B}^A_K \frac{\delta}{\delta B^A_L} - \bar{V}_{K\mu\nu} \frac{\delta}{\delta V_{L\mu\nu}} + \bar{Y}^A_K \frac{\delta}{\delta Y^A_L} \right) \;, \tag{66}$$

$$\Big\{ \mathcal{R}^{(4)}_{IJ}, \mathcal{S}_\Sigma \Big\} = \int d^4x \; (\delta_{IK}\delta_{JL} + \delta_{IL}\delta_{JK}) \left(G^A_K \frac{\delta}{\delta \bar{G}^A_L} - U_{K\mu\nu} \frac{\delta}{\delta \bar{U}_{L\mu\nu}} - X^A_K \frac{\delta}{\delta \bar{X}^A_L} \right) \;. \tag{67}$$

4 Renormalization

In the previous section we established the full set of Ward identities fulfilled by the action Σ. In this section, we prove that Σ is perturbative renormalizable to all orders.

4.1 Determination of the most general counterterm

Let us turn our attention to the characterization of the most general invariant counterterm Σ_{CT} which can be freely added to Σ. According to the algebraic renormalization procedure [58], we require that the perturbed action $(\Sigma + \epsilon\Sigma_{\mathrm{CT}})$ satisfy the same set of Ward identities, (46–62), and constraints of Σ. The counterterm Σ_{CT} must be an integrated local polynomial in the fields and sources with dimension bounded by four, vanishing ghost number and $\mathcal{Q}_6$-charge, obeying the following constraints

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{S}_\Sigma(\Sigma_{\mathrm{CT}}) &= 0 \;, & (68) \\
\frac{\delta \Sigma_{\mathrm{CT}}}{\delta b^i} &= 0 \;, & (69) \\
\frac{\delta \Sigma_{\mathrm{CT}}}{\delta \bar{c}^i} + \partial_\mu \frac{\delta \Sigma_{\mathrm{CT}}}{\delta \Omega^i_\mu} &= 0 \;, & (70) \\
\mathcal{D}_\Sigma(\Sigma_{\mathrm{CT}}) &= 0 \;, & (71) \\
\mathcal{G}^i(\Sigma_{\mathrm{CT}}) &= 0 \;, & (72) \\
\mathcal{W}^i(\Sigma_{\mathrm{CT}}) &= 0 \;, & (73) \\
\mathcal{Q}_{IJ}(\Sigma_{\mathrm{CT}}) &= 0 \;, & (74) \\
\mathcal{R}^{(\mathcal{N})}_{IJ}(\Sigma_{\mathrm{CT}}) &= 0 \;. & (75)
\end{aligned}$$

As an immediate consequence of BRST invariance, condition (68) allows us to write

$$\Sigma_{\rm CT} = a_0\, S_{\rm YM} + \mathcal{S}_\Sigma \Delta^{(-1)}\ , \tag{76}$$

where a_0 is a free parameter and $\Delta^{(-1)}$ is an integrated local polynomial with ghost number -1 and vanishing $\mathcal{Q}_6$-charge. Taking table (1) into account and imposing the conditions (69) and (70), we are led to the expression

$$\Delta^{(-1)} = \Delta^{(-1)}_1 + \Delta^{(-1)}_2\ , \tag{77}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta^{(-1)}_1 \;=\; \int d^4x\, \Big\{ & a_1\, \Omega^a_\mu A^a_\mu + a_2\, (\partial_\mu \bar{c}^a) A^a_\mu + a_3\, (\Omega^i_\mu + \partial_\mu \bar{c}^i) A^i_\mu + a_4\, c^a L^a + a_5\, c^i L^i + a_6\, g f^{abi} \bar{c}^a \bar{c}^b c^i \\
& + a_7\, g f^{abc} \bar{c}^a \bar{c}^b c^c + a_8\, \bar{c}^a b^a + a_9\, g f^{abi} \bar{c}^a A^i_\mu A^b_\mu + a_{10}\, \bar{Y}^A_I B^A_I + a_{11}\, Y^A_I \bar{B}^A_I + a_{12}\, \bar{X}^A_I G^A_I \\
& + a_{13}\, X^A_I \bar{G}^A_I + a_{14}\, \bar{G}^A_I \partial^2 B^A_I + a_{15}\, g f^{ABC} \bar{G}^A_I (\partial_\mu A^B_\mu) B^C_I + a_{16}\, g f^{ABC} \bar{G}^A_I A^B_\mu \partial_\mu B^C_I \\
& + a_{17}\, (\partial_\mu A^A_\nu) \bar{U}_{I\mu\nu} B^A_I + a_{18}\, A^A_\mu \bar{U}_{I\mu\nu} \partial_\nu B^A_I + a_{19}\, g f^{ABC} A^B_\mu A^C_\nu \bar{U}_{I\mu\nu} B^A_I + a_{20}\, (\partial_\mu A^A_\nu) V_{I\mu\nu} \bar{G}^A_I \\
& + a_{21}\, A^A_\mu V_{I\mu\nu} \partial_\nu \bar{G}^A_I + a_{22}\, g f^{ABC} A^B_\mu A^C_\nu V_{I\mu\nu} \bar{G}^A_I + a_{23}\, \bar{G}^A_I B^A_I \bar{V}_{J\mu\nu} V_{J\mu\nu} + a_{24}\, \bar{G}^A_I B^A_J \bar{V}_{I\mu\nu} V_{J\mu\nu} \\
& + a_{25}\, \bar{G}^A_I B^A_J \bar{V}_{J\mu\nu} V_{I\mu\nu} + a_{26}\, \bar{G}^A_I B^A_I \bar{U}_{J\mu\nu} U_{J\mu\nu} + a_{27}\, \bar{G}^A_I B^A_J \bar{U}_{I\mu\nu} U_{J\mu\nu} + a_{28}\, \bar{G}^A_I B^A_J \bar{U}_{J\mu\nu} U_{I\mu\nu} \\
& + a_{29} \bar{G}^A_I G^A_J V_{I\mu\nu} \bar{U}_{J\mu\nu} + a_{30} \bar{G}^A_I G^A_J V_{J\mu\nu} \bar{U}_{I\mu\nu} + a_{31} \bar{B}^A_I B^A_J V_{I\mu\nu} \bar{U}_{J\mu\nu} + a_{32} \bar{B}^A_I B^A_J V_{J\mu\nu} \bar{U}_{I\mu\nu} \\
& + a_{33}\, B^A_I B^A_I \bar{U}_{J\mu\nu} \bar{V}_{J\mu\nu} + a_{34}\, B^A_I B^A_J \bar{U}_{I\mu\nu} \bar{V}_{J\mu\nu} + a_{35}\, \bar{G}^A_I \bar{G}^A_J U_{I\mu\nu} V_{J\mu\nu} + a_{36}\, \bar{G}^A_I \bar{B}^A_I V_{J\mu\nu} V_{J\mu\nu} \\
& + a_{37}\, \bar{G}^A_I \bar{B}^A_J V_{I\mu\nu} V_{J\mu\nu} + a_{38}\, G^A_I B^A_J \bar{U}_{I\mu\nu} \bar{U}_{J\mu\nu} + \rho^{ABCD}\, \bar{G}^A_I B^B_I A^C_\mu A^D_\mu + a_{39}\, \bar{c}^a c^a \bar{U}_{I\mu\nu} V_{I\mu\nu} \\
& + \xi^{abcd}_1\, \bar{c}^a c^b \bar{G}^c_I B^d_I + \xi^{abij}_2\, \bar{c}^a c^b \bar{G}^i_I B^j_J + \sigma^{ABCD}_1\, \bar{G}^A_I B^B_I \bar{B}^C_J B^D_J + \sigma^{ABCD}_2\, \bar{G}^A_I B^B_I \bar{G}^C_J G^D_J \\
& + \sigma^{ABCD}_3\, \bar{G}^A_I B^B_J \bar{B}^C_I B^D_J + \sigma^{ABCD}_4\, \bar{G}^A_I B^B_J \bar{G}^C_I G^D_J \Big\}\ ,
\end{aligned} \tag{78}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta^{(-1)}_2 \;=\; \int d^4x\, \Big(& a_{40}\, \bar{U}_{I\mu\nu} \partial^2 V_{I\mu\nu} + a_{41}\, \bar{U}_{I\mu\nu} \partial_\mu \partial_\alpha V_{I\nu\alpha} + a_{42}\, \bar{U}_{I\mu\nu} V_{I\mu\nu} \bar{U}_{J\alpha\beta} U_{J\alpha\beta} \\
& + a_{43}\, \bar{U}_{I\mu\nu} V_{I\mu\nu} \bar{V}_{J\alpha\beta} V_{J\alpha\beta} + a_{44}\, \bar{U}_{I\mu\nu} V_{J\mu\nu} \bar{U}_{I\alpha\beta} U_{J\alpha\beta} + a_{45}\, \bar{U}_{I\mu\nu} V_{J\mu\nu} \bar{V}_{I\alpha\beta} V_{J\alpha\beta} \\
& + a_{46}\, \bar{U}_{I\mu\nu} V_{I\nu\alpha} \bar{U}_{J\alpha\beta} U_{J\beta\mu} + a_{47}\, \bar{U}_{I\mu\nu} V_{I\nu\alpha} \bar{V}_{J\alpha\beta} V_{J\beta\mu} + a_{48}\, \bar{U}_{I\mu\nu} V_{J\nu\alpha} \bar{U}_{I\alpha\beta} U_{J\beta\mu} \\
& + a_{49}\, \bar{U}_{I\mu\nu} V_{J\nu\alpha} \bar{V}_{I\alpha\beta} V_{J\beta\mu} + a_{50}\, V_{I\mu\nu} V_{I\mu\nu} \bar{U}_{J\alpha\beta} \bar{V}_{J\alpha\beta} + a_{51}\, V_{I\mu\nu} V_{J\mu\nu} \bar{U}_{I\alpha\beta} \bar{V}_{J\alpha\beta} \\
& + a_{52}\, \bar{U}_{I\mu\nu} \bar{U}_{J\mu\nu} V_{J\alpha\beta} U_{J\alpha\beta} + a_{53}\, \bar{U}_{I\mu\nu} V_{I\nu\alpha} \bar{U}_{J\mu\beta} U_{J\alpha\beta} + a_{54}\, \bar{U}_{I\mu\nu} V_{I\nu\alpha} \bar{V}_{J\mu\beta} V_{J\alpha\beta} \\
& + a_{55}\, \bar{U}_{I\mu\nu} V_{J\nu\alpha} \bar{U}_{I\mu\beta} U_{J\alpha\beta} + a_{56}\, \bar{U}_{I\mu\nu} V_{J\nu\alpha} \bar{V}_{I\mu\beta} V_{J\alpha\beta} + a_{57}\, \bar{U}_{I\mu\nu} V_{I\alpha\beta} \bar{U}_{J\alpha\beta} U_{J\mu\nu} \\
& + a_{58}\, \bar{U}_{I\mu\nu} V_{I\alpha\beta} \bar{V}_{J\alpha\beta} V_{J\mu\nu} + a_{59}\, \bar{U}_{I\alpha\mu} V_{I\nu\beta} \bar{U}_{J\alpha\beta} U_{J\mu\nu} + a_{60}\, \bar{U}_{I\alpha\mu} V_{I\nu\beta} \bar{V}_{J\alpha\beta} V_{J\mu\nu} \Big)
\end{aligned} \tag{79}$$

Here a_α, $\alpha = 1, \ldots, 60$, and the four-rank tensor $\sigma^{ABCD}_\aleph$, $\aleph = 1, \ldots, 4$, are also arbitrary coefficients. Applying the remaining conditions (71–75), expression (77) reduces to

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta^{(-1)} \;=\; \int d^4x \Big\{ & a_1\, \Omega^a_\mu A^a_\mu - a_2\, \bar{c}^a D^{ab}_\mu A^b_\mu + a_4\, c^a L^a + a_8\, \left(\bar{c}^a b^a - g f^{abi} \bar{c}^a \bar{c}^b c^i - \frac{g}{2} f^{abc} \bar{c}^a \bar{c}^b c^c \right) \\
& + a_{10}\, \left(\bar{Y}^A_I B^A_I - \bar{X}^A_I G^A_I \right) + a_{11}\, \left(Y^A_I \bar{B}^A_I - X^A_I \bar{G}^A_I \right) + a_{14}\, \bar{G}^A_I D^{AC}_\mu D^{CB}_\mu B^B_I \\
& + a_{19}\, F^A_{\mu\nu} \bar{U}_{I\mu\nu} B^A_I + (a_{10} - a_{11} - a_{19})\, F^A_{\mu\nu} V_{I\mu\nu} \bar{G}^A_I + a_{23}\, \bar{G}^A_I B^A_I \left(\bar{V}_{J\mu\nu} V_{J\mu\nu} - \bar{U}_{J\mu\nu} U_{J\mu\nu} \right) \\
& + a_{25}\, \left(\bar{G}^A_I B^A_J \bar{V}_{J\mu\nu} V_{I\mu\nu} + \bar{G}^A_I G^A_J V_{I\mu\nu} \bar{U}_{J\mu\nu} \right) + a_{28}\, \left(\bar{G}^A_I B^A_J \bar{U}_{J\mu\nu} U_{I\mu\nu} + \bar{B}^A_I B^A_J V_{I\mu\nu} \bar{U}_{J\mu\nu} \right) \\
& + \tfrac{1}{2} [\lambda_2 (a_{10} - a_{11}) - a_{28} - a_{25}]\, \left(B^A_I B^A_J \bar{U}_{I\mu\nu} \bar{V}_{J\mu\nu} + G^A_I B^A_J \bar{U}_{I\mu\nu} \bar{U}_{J\mu\nu} \right) \\
& - \tfrac{1}{2} [\lambda_2 (a_{10} - a_{11}) + a_{28} + a_{25}]\, \left(\bar{G}^A_I \bar{G}^A_J U_{I\mu\nu} V_{J\mu\nu} + \bar{G}^A_I \bar{B}^A_J V_{I\mu\nu} V_{J\mu\nu} \right) \\
& + \sigma^{ABCD}_1\, \bar{G}^A_I B^B_I \left(\bar{B}^C_J B^D_J - \bar{G}^C_J G^D_J \right) + a_{40}\, \bar{U}_{I\mu\nu} \partial^2 V_{I\mu\nu} + a_{41}\, \bar{U}_{I\mu\nu} \partial_\mu \partial_\alpha V_{I\nu\alpha} \\
& + a_{42}\, \left(\bar{U}_{I\mu\nu} V_{I\mu\nu} \bar{U}_{J\alpha\beta} U_{J\alpha\beta} - \bar{U}_{I\mu\nu} V_{I\mu\nu} \bar{V}_{J\alpha\beta} V_{J\alpha\beta} \right) \Big\}\ ,
\end{aligned} \tag{80}$$

with the further restrictions on σ^{ABCD}_1

$$f^{MAN} \sigma^{MBCD}_1 + f^{MBN} \sigma^{AMCD}_1 + f^{MCN} \sigma^{ABMD}_1 + f^{MDN} \sigma^{ABCM}_1 = 0\ , \tag{81}$$

and

$$\sigma_1^{ABCD} = \sigma_1^{CDAB} = \sigma_1^{BACD} \ . \tag{82}$$

Observe that many coefficients present in expressions (78) and (79) vanish after the imposition of the constraints (71–75).

Performing now the following redefinition

$$\begin{aligned}
a_0 &\to a_0 \ , \\
a_1 &\to a_1 \ , \\
a_2 &\to -a_2 \ , \\
a_4 &\to -a_3 \ , \\
a_8 &\to \frac{\alpha}{2} a_4 \ , \\
a_{10} + a_{11} &\to a_5 \ , \\
a_{10} - a_{19} &\to a_6 \ , \\
a_{23} &\to \lambda_1 a_7 \ , \\
a_{25} + a_{28} &\to \lambda_2 a_8 \ , \\
a_{40} &\to \chi_1 a_9 \ , \\
a_{41} &\to \chi_2 a_{10} \ , \\
a_{42} &\to \zeta a_{11} \ , \\
\sigma_1^{ABCD} &\to \frac{a_5}{16}(\sigma^{ABCD} - \lambda^{ABCD}) \ ,
\end{aligned} \tag{83}$$

we can rewrite the counterterm $\Sigma_{\rm CT}$ as

$$\Sigma_{\rm CT} = \Sigma_{\rm CT(1)} + \Sigma_{\rm CT(2)} + \Sigma_{\rm CT(3)} \tag{84}$$

with

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Sigma_{\mathrm{CT}(1)} = \int d^4x \Big\{ & \frac{a_0+2a_1}{2}\left(D^{ab}_\mu A^b_\nu\right)\left(D^{ac}_\mu A^c_\nu - D^{ac}_\nu A^c_\mu\right) + (a_0+3a_1) g f^{abc}\left(D^{ad}_\mu A^d_\nu\right) A^b_\mu A^c_\nu \\
& + \frac{a_0+4a_1}{4}\left(g^2 f^{abc} f^{ade} A^b_\mu A^c_\nu A^d_\mu A^e_\nu + g^2 f^{abi} f^{cdi} A^a_\mu A^b_\nu A^c_\mu A^d_\nu\right) + \frac{a_0}{2}\left(\partial_\mu A^i_\nu\right)\left(\partial_\mu A^i_\nu - \partial_\nu A^i_\mu\right) \\
& + (a_0+2a_1) g f^{abi}\left(\partial_\mu A^i_\nu\right) A^a_\mu A^b_\nu + (a_1+a_2) b^a D^{ab}_\mu A^a_\mu + (a_1+a_2) g f^{abi} \bar{c}^a \left(D^{bc}_\mu A^c_\mu\right) c^i \\
& + (a_1+a_2+a_3) \bar{c}^a D^{ab}_\mu \left(g f^{bcd} A^c_\mu c^d\right) + (2a_1+a_2+a_3) g^2 f^{abi} f^{cdi} \bar{c}^a c^d A^b_\mu A^c_\mu \\
& - (a_1+a_3)\left(\Omega^i_\mu + \partial_\mu \bar{c}^i\right) g f^{abi} A^a_\mu c^b + (a_2+a_3) \bar{c}^a D^{ab}_\mu D^{bc}_\mu c^c + a_4 \frac{\alpha}{2} b^a b^a - a_4 \alpha g f^{abi} b^a \bar{c}^b c^i \\
& - (a_3+a_4)\Big[\frac{\alpha}{2} g f^{abc} b^a \bar{c}^b c^c + \frac{\alpha}{4} g^2 f^{abc} f^{adi} \bar{c}^b \bar{c}^c c^d c^i\Big] - (2a_3+a_4)\Big[\frac{\alpha}{4} g^2 f^{abi} f^{cdi} \bar{c}^a \bar{c}^b c^c c^d \\
& + \frac{\alpha}{8} g^2 f^{abc} f^{ade} \bar{c}^b \bar{c}^c c^d c^e\Big] + (a_1-a_3)\, \Omega^a_\mu D^{ab}_\mu c^b - a_3\, g f^{abc} \Omega^a_\mu A^b_\mu c^c + a_3\, \frac{g}{2} f^{abc} L^a c^b c^c \\
& + a_3\, g f^{abi} L^i c^a c^b \Big\} \,, \qquad (85)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Sigma_{\mathrm{CT}(2)} = \int d^4x \Big\{ & a_3\, \bar{Y}^a_I \left(g f^{abc} c^b B^c_I + g f^{abi} c^b B^i_I\right) + a_3\, f^{abi} \bar{Y}^i_I c^a B^b_I + a_3\, Y^a_I \Big(g f^{abc} c^b \bar{B}^c_I \\
& + g f^{abi} c^b \bar{B}^i_I\Big) + a_3\, g f^{abi} Y^i_I c^a \bar{B}^b_I + a_3\, \bar{X}^a_I \left(g f^{abc} c^b G^c_I + g f^{abi} c^b G^i_I\right) + a_3\, g f^{abi} \bar{X}^i_I c^a G^b_I \\
& + a_3\, X^a_I \left(g f^{abc} c^b \bar{G}^c_I + g f^{abi} c^b \bar{G}^i_I\right) + a_3\, g f^{abi} X^i_I c^a \bar{G}^b_I + a_5\, \bar{B}^a_I \partial^2 B^a_I - a_5\, g f^{abi} \bar{B}^a_I \left(\partial_\mu A^i_\mu\right) B^b_I \\
& - (a_1+a_5) g f^{abc} \bar{B}^a_I \left(\partial_\mu A^c_\mu\right) B^b_I - a_5\, 2g f^{abi} \bar{B}^a_I A^i_\mu \partial_\mu B^b_I - (a_1+a_5) 2g f^{abc} \bar{B}^a_I A^c_\mu \partial_\mu B^b_I \\
& + a_5\, g^2 f^{aci} f^{cbj} \bar{B}^a_I A^i_\mu A^j_\mu B^b_I + (a_1+a_5) g^2 f^{aci} f^{cbd} \bar{B}^a_I A^i_\mu A^d_\mu B^b_I + (a_1+a_5) g^2 f^{acd} f^{cdi} \bar{B}^a_I A^d_\mu A^i_\mu B^b_I \\
& + (2a_1+a_5) g^2 f^{acd} f^{cbe} \bar{B}^a_I A^d_\mu A^e_\mu B^b_I + (2a_1+a_5) g^2 f^{aci} f^{bdi} \bar{B}^a_I A^c_\mu A^d_\mu B^b_I + a_5\, \bar{B}^i_I \partial^2 B^i_I \\
& - (2a_1+a_5) g^2 f^{abi} f^{acj} \bar{B}^i_I A^b_\mu A^c_\mu B^j_I + (a_1+a_5) g f^{abi} \bar{B}^a_I \left(\partial_\mu A^b_\mu\right) B^i_I + (a_1+a_5) 2g f^{abi} \bar{B}^a_I A^b_\mu \partial_\mu B^i_I \\
& - (a_1+a_5) g^2 f^{acj} f^{cbi} \bar{B}^a_I A^j_\mu A^b_\mu B^i_I - (2a_1+a_5) g^2 f^{acb} f^{cdi} \bar{B}^a_I A^b_\mu A^d_\mu B^i_I \\
& - (a_1+a_5) g f^{abi} \bar{B}^i \left(\partial_\mu A^b_\mu\right) B^a_I - (a_1+a_5) 2g f^{abi} \bar{B}^i_I A^b_\mu \partial_\mu B^a_I + (a_1+a_5) g^2 f^{cbi} f^{caj} \bar{B}^i_I A^b_\mu A^j_\mu B^a_I \\
& + (2a_1+a_5) g^2 f^{cbi} f^{cad} \bar{B}^i_I A^b_\mu A^d_\mu B^a_I \Big\} \,, \qquad (86)
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Sigma_{\mathrm{CT}(3)} \;=\; & \int d^4x \Big\{ -\Big[a_5\, \bar{G}^a_I \partial^2 G^a_I - a_5\, g f^{abi} \bar{G}^a_I \left(\partial_\mu A^i_\mu\right) G^b_I \\
& -(a_1+a_5) g f^{abc} \bar{G}^a_I \left(\partial_\mu A^c_\mu\right) G^b_I - a_5\, 2g f^{abi} \bar{G}^a_I A^i_\mu \partial_\mu G^b_I - (a_1+a_5) 2g f^{abc} \bar{G}^a_I A^c_\mu \partial_\mu G^b_I \\
& + a_5\, g^2 f^{aci} f^{cbj} \bar{G}^a_I A^i_\mu A^j_\mu G^b_I + (a_1+a_5) g^2 f^{aci} f^{cbd} \bar{G}^a_I A^i_\mu A^d_\mu G^b_I + (a_1+a_5) g^2 f^{acd} f^{cdi} \bar{G}^a_I A^d_\mu A^i_\mu G^b_I \\
& + (2a_1+a_5) g^2 f^{acd} f^{cbe} \bar{G}^a_I A^d_\mu A^e_\mu G^b_I + (2a_1+a_5) g^2 f^{aci} f^{bdi} \bar{G}^a_I A^c_\mu A^d_\mu G^b_I + a_5\, \bar{G}^i_I \partial^2 G^i_I \\
& - (2a_1+a_5) g^2 f^{abi} f^{acj} \bar{G}^i_I A^b_\mu A^c_\mu G^j_I + (a_1+a_5) g f^{abi} \bar{G}^a_I \left(\partial_\mu A^b_\mu\right) G^i_I + (a_1+a_5) 2g f^{abi} \bar{G}^a_I A^b_\mu \partial_\mu G^i_I \\
& - (a_1+a_5) g^2 f^{acj} f^{cbi} \bar{G}^a_I A^j_\mu A^b_\mu G^i_I - (2a_1+a_5) g^2 f^{acb} f^{cdi} \bar{G}^a_I A^b_\mu A^d_\mu G^i_I \\
& - (a_1+a_5) g f^{abi} \bar{G}^i \left(\partial_\mu A^b_\mu\right) G^a_I - (a_1+a_5) 2g f^{abi} \bar{G}^i_I A^b_\mu \partial_\mu G^a_I + (a_1+a_5) g^2 f^{cbi} f^{caj} \bar{G}^i_I A^b_\mu A^j_\mu G^a_I \\
& + (2a_1+a_5) g^2 f^{cbi} f^{cad} \bar{G}^i_I A^b_\mu A^d_\mu G^a_I \Big] + \left[2(a_1+a_6)\, D^{ab}_\mu A^b_\nu + (2a_1+a_6) g f^{abc} A^b_\mu A^c_\nu \right] \big(\bar{U}_{I\mu\nu}\, G^a_I \\
& + V_{I\mu\nu}\, \bar{B}^a_I - \bar{V}_{I\mu\nu}\, B^a_I + U_{I\mu\nu}\, \bar{G}^a_I \big) + \left[2a_6\, \partial_\mu A^i_\nu + (2a_1+a_6) g f^{abi} A^a_\mu A^b_\nu \right] \big(\bar{U}_{I\mu\nu}\, G^i_I \\
& + V_{I\mu\nu}\, \bar{B}^i_I - \bar{V}_{I\mu\nu}\, B^i_I + U_{I\mu\nu}\, \bar{G}^i_I \big) + \lambda_1 (a_5+a_7) \left(\bar{B}^A_I B^A_I - \bar{G}^A_I G^A_I \right) \left(\bar{V}_{J\mu\nu} V_{J\mu\nu} - \bar{U}_{J\mu\nu} U_{J\mu\nu} \right) \\
& + \lambda_2 (a_5+a_8) \Big(\bar{B}^A_I B^A_J V_{I\mu\nu} \bar{V}_{J\mu\nu} - \bar{G}^A_I B^A_J U_{I\mu\nu} \bar{V}_{J\mu\nu} - G^A_I B^A_J \bar{U}_{I\mu\nu} \bar{V}_{J\mu\nu} \\
& + \bar{B}^A_I G^A_J V_{I\mu\nu} \bar{U}_{J\mu\nu} + \bar{G}^A_I G^A_J U_{I\mu\nu} \bar{U}_{J\mu\nu} + \bar{G}^A_I \bar{B}^A_J U_{I\mu\nu} V_{J\mu\nu} - \tfrac{1}{2} B^A_I B^A_J \bar{V}_{I\mu\nu} \bar{V}_{J\mu\nu} + \tfrac{1}{2} G^A_I G^A_J \bar{U}_{I\mu\nu} \bar{U}_{J\mu\nu} \\
& - \tfrac{1}{2} \bar{B}^A_I \bar{B}^A_J V_{I\mu\nu} V_{J\mu\nu} + \tfrac{1}{2} \bar{G}^A_I \bar{G}^A_J U_{I\mu\nu} U_{J\mu\nu} \Big) + \frac{a_5}{16} (\lambda^{ABCD} + \sigma^{ABCD}) (\bar{B}^A_I B^B_I - \bar{G}^A_I G^B_I)(\bar{B}^C_J B^D_J - \bar{G}^C_J G^D_J) \\
& + \chi_1 a_9 (\bar{V}_{I\mu\nu} \partial^2 V_{I\mu\nu} - \bar{U}_{I\mu\nu} \partial^2 U_{I\mu\nu}) + \chi_2 a_{10} (\bar{V}_{I\mu\nu} \partial_\mu \partial_\alpha V_{I\nu\alpha} - \bar{U}_{I\mu\nu} \partial_\mu \partial_\alpha U_{I\nu\alpha}) \\
& - \zeta a_{11} (\bar{U}_{I\mu\nu} U_{I\mu\nu} \bar{U}_{J\alpha\beta} U_{J\alpha\beta} + \bar{V}_{I\mu\nu} V_{I\mu\nu} \bar{V}_{J\alpha\beta} V_{J\alpha\beta} - 2 \bar{V}_{I\mu\nu} V_{I\mu\nu} \bar{U}_{J\alpha\beta} U_{J\alpha\beta}) \Big\} \;. \qquad (87)
\end{aligned}
$$

By construction, expression (84) yields the most general invariant counterterm compatible with the full set of Ward identities.

4.2 Renormalization factors

Once we have found the most general counterterm, eq.(84), we have to check whether the remaining independent coefficients, $a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_{11}$, and the 4-rank tensor σ^{ABCD} can be reabsorbed through a redefinition of the fields, sources and parameters of the starting action Σ. The answer is in fact affirmative. Let us rename collectively the fields, sources and parameters as:

$$
\Phi = (A, b, \bar{c}, c) \qquad \text{and} \qquad \Psi = (\bar{B}, B, \bar{G}, G) \;, \qquad (88)
$$

$$
J = (\Omega, L) \qquad \text{and} \qquad \mathcal{J} = (\bar{Y}, Y, \bar{X}, X, \bar{U}, U, \bar{V}, V) \;, \qquad (89)
$$

$$
\xi = (g, \alpha, \lambda_1, \lambda_2, \chi_1, \chi_2, \zeta) \;. \qquad (90)
$$

Next, defining the bare fields, sources and parameters as:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Phi_0^{\text{off-diag}} &= \widetilde{Z}_\Phi^{1/2}\, \Phi^{\text{off-diag}} \;, \\
\Phi_0^{\text{diag}} &= Z_\Phi^{1/2}\, \Phi^{\text{diag}} \;, \\
\Psi_0 &= Z_\Psi^{1/2}\, \Psi \;, \\
J_0^{\text{off-diag}} &= \widetilde{Z}_J\, J^{\text{off-diag}} \;, \\
J_0^{\text{diag}} &= Z_J\, J^{\text{diag}} \;, \\
\mathcal{J}_0 &= Z_{\mathcal{J}}\, \mathcal{J} \;, \\
\xi_0 &= Z_\xi\, \xi \;, \qquad (91)
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\lambda_0^{ABCD} = Z_\lambda\, \lambda^{ABCD} + \mathcal{Z}^{ABCD} \;, \qquad (92)
$$

it is easily checked that the invariant counterterm $\Sigma_{\rm CT}$ can be reabsorbed into the starting classical action Σ, namely

$$\Sigma[\xi,\Phi,\Psi,J,\mathcal{J},\lambda^{ABCD}]+\epsilon\,\Sigma_{\rm CT}=\Sigma[\xi_0,\Phi_0,\Psi_0,J_0,\mathcal{J}_0,\lambda_0^{ABCD}]+O(\epsilon^2)\;, \tag{93}$$

where ϵ stands for an infinitesimal expansion parameter. For the Z's factors we have

$$\begin{aligned}
Z_A^{1/2} &= Z_g^{-1}\;,\\
\widetilde{Z}_b^{1/2} &= Z_g Z_c^{1/2}\widetilde{Z}_c^{1/2}\;,\\
Z_b^{1/2} &= Z_g\;,\\
\widetilde{Z}_{\bar{c}}^{1/2} &= \widetilde{Z}_c^{1/2}\;,\\
Z_{\bar{c}}^{1/2} &= Z_{\bar{c}}^{-1/2}\;,\\
Z_{\bar{B}}^{1/2} &= Z_{\bar{G}}^{1/2}=\;Z_G^{1/2}=\;Z_B^{1/2}\;,\\
\widetilde{Z}_\Omega &= Z_g^{-1}Z_c^{-1/2}\widetilde{Z}_A^{-1/2}\;,\\
Z_\Omega &= Z_c^{-1/2}\;,\\
\widetilde{Z}_L &= Z_g^{-1}Z_c^{-1/2}\widetilde{Z}_c^{-1/2}\;,\\
Z_L &= Z_g^{-1}Z_c^{-1}\;,\\
Z_X &= Z_{\bar{X}}=\;Z_Y=\;Z_{\bar{Y}}=\;Z_g^{-1}Z_c^{-1/2}Z_B^{-1/2}\;,\\
Z_U &= Z_{\bar{U}}=\;Z_{\bar{V}}=\;Z_V\;,
\end{aligned} \tag{94}$$

with,

$$\begin{aligned}
\widetilde{Z}_A^{1/2} &= 1+\epsilon\left(\frac{a_0}{2}+a_1\right)\;,\\
Z_g &= 1-\epsilon\,\frac{a_0}{2}\;,\\
\widetilde{Z}_c^{1/2} &= 1+\epsilon\,\frac{a_2+a_3}{2}\;,\\
Z_c^{1/2} &= 1+\epsilon\,\frac{a_2-a_3}{2}\;,\\
Z_B^{1/2} &= 1+\epsilon\,\frac{a_5}{2}\;,\\
Z_V &= 1-\epsilon\left(\frac{a_0}{2}+\frac{a_5}{2}-a_6\right)\;,\\
Z_\alpha &= 1+\epsilon\,(a_0-2a_2+a_4)\;,\\
Z_{\lambda_1} &= 1+\epsilon\,(a_0+a_5-2a_6+a_7)\;,\\
Z_{\lambda_2} &= 1+\epsilon\,(a_0+a_5-2a_6+a_8)\;,\\
Z_{\chi_1} &= 1+\epsilon\,(a_0+a_5-2a_6+a_9)\;,\\
Z_{\chi_2} &= 1+\epsilon\,(a_0+a_5-2a_6+a_{10})\;,\\
Z_\zeta &= 1+\epsilon\,(2a_0+2a_5-4a_6+a_{11})\;,\\
Z_\lambda &= 1-\epsilon\,a_5\;,\\
\mathcal{Z}^{ABCD} &= \epsilon\,a_5\sigma^{ABCD}\;.
\end{aligned} \tag{95}$$

This concludes the proof of the renormalizability of the classical action to all orders of perturbation theory.

5 Conclusions

In this paper, a detailed analysis of the nonlocal gauge invariant mass operator $Tr\int d^4x F_{\mu\nu}(D^2)^{-1}F_{\mu\nu}$ has been made in the MAG. By means of the introduction of a suitable set of auxiliary fields, this operator can be cast in local form. Moreover, the embedding of the resulting local model into a more general action has allowed us to make use of the BRST symmetry. Furthermore, it turns out that the generalized action displays additional global symmetries giving rise to useful Ward identities, which were used to restrict the possible counterterms. The analysis of the renormalization factors has enabled us to show that the most general invariant counterterm can be in fact reabsorbed into the starting action through a redefinition of fields. parameter and sources, establishing thus the perturbative renormalizability of the model to all orders. Finally, in appendix **A** the nonlocal operator $Tr \int d^4x F_{\mu\nu}(D^2)^{-1}F_{\mu\nu}$ has been analyzed in the presence of the horizon function implementing the restriction of the domain of integration in the Feynman path integral to the Gribov region in the MAG. The output of our analysis is that the introduction of the horizon function does not spoil the renormalizability of the model.

Acknowledgments

The Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico (CNPq-Brazil), the SR2-UERJ and the Coordenação de Aperfeiçoamento de Pessoal de Nível Superior (CAPES) are gratefully acknowledged for financial support.

A Including the horizon function

It is a well known fact that non-Abelian theories are plagued by Gribov ambiguities [3], see [60] for a pedagogical review. In the specific case of the MAG, the study of Gribov ambiguities and the characterization of the horizon function in the case of $SU(2)$ can be found in [39, 38]. In this appendix we present the main aspects of the simultaneous inclusion of the MAG horizon function and of the gauge invariant mass operator (5) in the YM theory. A similar treatment regarding Gribov ambiguities and the mass operator (5) has been done recently in the Landau gauge [53]. Without loss of generality, we will follow [39, 38] and restrict ourselves to $SU(2)$.

In [38], the following horizon function for the MAG has been derived

$$S_{\rm Horizon} = \gamma^4 g^2 \int d^4x\, \varepsilon^{ab} A_\mu \left(\mathcal{M}^{-1}\right)^{ac} \varepsilon^{cb} A_\mu \ . \tag{96}$$

Here γ stands for the Gribov parameter [3, 39], $\varepsilon^{ab} \equiv \varepsilon^{3ab}$, $a,b = 1,2$ are the off-diagonal components of the $SU(2)$ structure constants and $A_\mu \equiv A^3_\mu$ is the diagonal component of the gauge field. The operator $\left(\mathcal{M}^{-1}\right)^{ab}$ is the inverse of the Faddeev-Popov operator given by

$$\mathcal{M}^{ab} = -D^{ac}_\mu D^{cb}_\mu - g^2 \varepsilon^{ac}\varepsilon^{bd} A^c_\mu A^d_\mu \ , \tag{97}$$

with the covariant derivative D^{ab}_μ defined as a particular case of (13) by

$$D^{ab}_\mu = \delta^{ab}\partial_\mu - g\varepsilon^{ab}A_\mu \ . \tag{98}$$

The inclusion of the horizon function (96) allows one to implement the restriction of the domain of integration in the Feynman path integral to the Gribov region, where the operator (97) is strictly positive definite. As underlined in [39, 38], such a restriction is necessary in order to deal with the Gribov copies.

In much the same way as the gauge invariant mass operator (5), the horizon function (96) also possesses a localized version, which can be obtained through the introduction of a suitable pair of commuting auxiliary complex fields $(\phi^{ab}_\mu, \bar{\phi}^{ab}_\mu)$, and a pair of anti-commuting ones $(\omega^{ab}_\mu, \bar{\omega}^{ab}_\mu)$ [38]

$$\begin{aligned} S^{\rm local}_{\rm Horizon} \;=\; & \int d^4x \Big\{ \bar{\phi}^{ab}_\mu \mathcal{M}^{ac} \phi^{cb}_\mu - \bar{\omega}^{ab}_\mu \mathcal{M}^{ac} \omega^{cb}_\mu + \bar{\omega}^{ab}_\mu \mathcal{F}^{ac} \phi^{cb}_\mu + \bar{M}^{ac}_{\mu\nu} D^{ab}_\mu \phi^{bc}_\nu + N^{ac}_{\mu\nu} D^{ab}_\mu \bar{\omega}^{bc}_\nu + \\ & + \bar{N}^{ac}_{\mu\nu} \Big[D^{ab}_\mu \omega^{bc}_\nu + g\varepsilon^{ab}(\partial_\mu c + g\varepsilon^{de} A^d_\mu c^e)\phi^{bc}_\nu \Big] + M^{ac}_{\mu\nu} \Big[D^{ab}_\mu \bar{\phi}^{bc}_\nu + g\varepsilon^{ab}(\partial_\mu c + g\varepsilon^{de} A^d_\mu c^e)\bar{\omega}^{bc}_\nu \Big] \Big\} \\ & + \alpha g^2 \int d^4x \Big[\tfrac{1}{2} \left(\bar{\phi}^{ac}_\mu \phi^{ac}_\mu - \bar{\omega}^{ac}_\mu \omega^{ac}_\mu \right) \left(\bar{\phi}^{bd}_\nu \phi^{bd}_\nu - \bar{\omega}^{bd}_\nu \omega^{bd}_\nu \right) - \left(\bar{\phi}^{ac}_\mu \phi^{ac}_\mu - \bar{\omega}^{ac}_\mu \omega^{ac}_\mu \right) \bar{c}^b c^b \\ & \qquad + \bar{\omega}^{ac}_\mu \phi^{ac}_\mu \, b^b c^b - \bar{\omega}^{ac}_\mu \phi^{ac}_\mu \, g\varepsilon^{bd} \bar{c}^b c^d c \Big] \ , \end{aligned} \tag{99}$$

with $\mathcal{F}^{ab}$ given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{F}^{ab} &= 2g\varepsilon^{ac}(\partial_\mu c + g\varepsilon^{de}A^d_\mu c^e)D^{cb}_\mu + g\varepsilon^{ab}\partial_\mu(\partial_\mu c + g\varepsilon^{cd}A^c_\mu c^d) + \\
&\quad -g^2(\varepsilon^{ac}\varepsilon^{bd} + \varepsilon^{ad}\varepsilon^{bc})A^d_\mu(D^{ce}_\mu c^e + g\varepsilon^{ce}A^e_\mu c) \ , \qquad (100)
\end{aligned}
$$

and the sources $M^{ab}_{\mu\nu}$, $\bar{M}^{ab}_{\mu\nu}$, $N^{ab}_{\mu\nu}$, and $\bar{N}^{ab}_{\mu\nu}$ are chosen in such a way that their physical values must be taken as

$$
\begin{aligned}
\bar{M}^{ab}_{\mu\nu}\Big|_{\rm phys} &= -M^{ab}_{\mu\nu}\Big|_{\rm phys} = \delta^{ab}\delta_{\mu\nu}\gamma^2 \ , \\
\bar{N}^{ab}_{\mu\nu}\Big|_{\rm phys} &= N^{ab}_{\mu\nu}\Big|_{\rm phys} = 0 \ . \qquad (101)
\end{aligned}
$$

Notice that the last term in expression (99) introduces quartic interactions between the auxiliary fields, being needed for renormalizability. Nevertheless, unlike the term (38), these quartic terms depend on the gauge parameter α of (17), which will be set to zero, $\alpha \to 0$, after the removal of the ultraviolet divergences.

Adding the local version of the horizon function (99) to the action (42), we obtain a new starting action which reads

$$
S = S_{\rm inv} + S^{\rm local}_{\rm horizon} + S_\lambda + \tilde{S}_{\rm sources} + \tilde{S}_{\rm ext} \ . \qquad (102)
$$

The first three terms of expression (102) are given by (29), (99), and (38) respectively, while the fourth term generalizes (39), including the new sources (101), namely

$$
\tilde{S}_{\rm sources} = S_{\rm sources} + \int d^4x\, \chi\Big(\bar{M}^{ab}_{\mu\nu}M^{ab}_{\mu\nu} + \bar{N}^{ab}_{\mu\nu}N^{ab}_{\mu\nu}\Big) \ . \qquad (103)
$$

Finally, the last term of (102) contains the coupling of the external sources $\Omega^a_\mu, \tau^a_\mu, \xi^a_\mu, \Omega_\mu, L^a, L, \bar{Y}^B_I, Y^B_I, \bar{X}^B_I, X^B_I$ and $\lambda^{ac}_\mu, \eta^{ae}_\mu, \rho^{ac}_\mu, \vartheta^{ae}_\mu$ to some nonlinear operators needed for the BRST invariance of the model, being given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tilde{S}_{\rm ext} &= \int d^4x \Big\{ -\Omega^a_\mu\, D^{ab}_\mu c^b - g\varepsilon^{ab}\tau^a_\mu A^b_\mu c + \xi^a_\mu\Big[g\varepsilon^{ab}(D^{bc}_\mu c^c)c - \frac{g^2}{2}\varepsilon^{ab}\varepsilon^{cd}A^b_\mu c^c c^d\Big] - \Omega_\mu(\partial_\mu c + g\varepsilon^{ab}A^a_\mu c^b) \\
&\quad + g\varepsilon^{ab}L^a c^b c + \frac{g}{2}\varepsilon^{ab}Lc^a c^b + g\varepsilon^{ABC}c^A\left(\bar{Y}^B_I B^C_I + Y^B_I \bar{B}^C_I - \bar{X}^B_I G^C_I - X^B_I \bar{G}^C_I\right) + g\varepsilon^{ab}\lambda^{ac}_\mu\phi^{bc}_\mu c \\
&\quad + \eta^{ae}_\mu\Big[g\varepsilon^{ab}\omega^{be}_\mu c + \frac{g^2}{2}\varepsilon^{ab}\varepsilon^{cd}\phi^{be}_\mu c^c c^d\Big] + g\varepsilon^{ab}\rho^{ac}_\mu\bar{\omega}^{bc}_\mu c - \vartheta^{ae}_\mu\Big[g\varepsilon^{ab}\bar{\phi}^{be}_\mu c - \frac{g^2}{2}\varepsilon^{ab}\varepsilon^{cd}\bar{\omega}^{be}_\mu c^c c^d\Big]\Big\} \ . \qquad (104)
\end{aligned}
$$

The quantum numbers of the new fields and sources are displayed in table 2.

Let us now proceed by giving the set of Ward identities fulfilled by the action (102). These are:

- The Slavnov-Taylor identity:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{S}(S) &= \int d^4x \Bigg[\Big(\frac{\delta S}{\delta\Omega^a_\mu} + \frac{\delta S}{\delta\tau^a_\mu}\Big)\frac{\delta S}{\delta A^a_\mu} + \frac{\delta S}{\delta\Omega_\mu}\frac{\delta S}{\delta A_\mu} + \frac{\delta S}{\delta L^A}\frac{\delta S}{\delta c^A} + b^A\frac{\delta S}{\delta\bar{c}^A} + \Big(\frac{\delta S}{\delta\bar{Y}^A_I} + G^A_I\Big)\frac{\delta S}{\delta B^A_I} + \frac{\delta S}{\delta Y^A_I}\frac{\delta\Sigma}{\delta\bar{B}^A_I} \\
&\quad + \frac{\delta S}{\delta\bar{X}^A_I}\frac{\delta S}{\delta G^A_I} + \left(\frac{\delta S}{\delta X^A_I} + \bar{B}^A_I\right)\frac{\delta S}{\delta\bar{G}^A_I} + \bar{V}_{I\mu\nu}\frac{\delta S}{\delta\bar{U}_{I\mu\nu}} + U_{I\mu\nu}\frac{\delta S}{\delta V_{I\mu\nu}} - \bar{Y}^A_I\frac{\delta S}{\delta\bar{X}^A_I} + X^A_I\frac{\delta S}{\delta Y^A_I} \\
&\quad + \omega^{ab}_\mu\frac{\delta S}{\delta\phi^{ab}_\mu} + \bar{\phi}^{ab}_\mu\frac{\delta S}{\delta\bar{\omega}^{ab}_\mu} + N^{ab}_{\mu\nu}\frac{\delta S}{\delta M^{ab}_{\mu\nu}} - \bar{M}^{ab}_{\mu\nu}\frac{\delta S}{\delta\bar{N}^{ab}_{\mu\nu}} - (\Omega^a_\mu - \tau^a_\mu)\frac{\delta S}{\delta\xi^a_\mu} + \lambda^{ab}_\mu\frac{\delta S}{\delta\eta^{ab}_\mu} + \rho^{ab}_\mu\frac{\delta S}{\delta\vartheta^{ab}_\mu}\Bigg] = 0 \ . \quad (105)
\end{aligned}
$$

- The global $U(8)$ invariance:

$$
\mathcal{Q}^{ab}_{\mu\nu}(S) = 0 \ , \qquad (106)
$$

with

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{Q}^{ab}_{\mu\nu} &\equiv \int d^4x \Bigg(\phi^{ca}_\mu\frac{\delta}{\delta\phi^{cb}_\nu} - \bar{\phi}^{cb}_\nu\frac{\delta}{\delta\bar{\phi}^{ca}_\mu} + \omega^{ca}_\mu\frac{\delta}{\delta\omega^{cb}_\nu} - \bar{\omega}^{cb}_\nu\frac{\delta}{\delta\bar{\omega}^{ca}_\mu} + M^{ca}_{\sigma\mu}\frac{\delta}{\delta M^{cb}_{\sigma\nu}} - \bar{M}^{cb}_{\sigma\nu}\frac{\delta}{\delta\bar{M}^{ca}_{\sigma\mu}} \\
&\quad + N^{ca}_{\sigma\mu}\frac{\delta}{\delta N^{cb}_{\sigma\nu}} - \bar{N}^{cb}_{\sigma\nu}\frac{\delta}{\delta\bar{N}^{ca}_{\sigma\mu}} + \vartheta^{ca}_\mu\frac{\delta}{\delta\vartheta^{cb}_\nu} - \eta^{cb}_\nu\frac{\delta}{\delta\eta^{ca}_\mu} + \rho^{ca}_\mu\frac{\delta}{\delta\rho^{cb}_\nu} - \lambda^{cb}_{nu}\frac{\delta}{\delta\lambda^{ca}_\mu}\Bigg) \ . \qquad (107)
\end{aligned}
$$

	ϕ	$\bar{\phi}$	ω	$\bar{\omega}$	M	$\bar{M}$	N	$\bar{N}$	ϑ	η	ρ	λ	Ω	τ	ξ
dimension	1	1	1	1	2	2	2	2	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
gh. number	0	0	1	-1	0	0	1	-1	-1	-2	0	-1	-1	-1	-2
$\mathcal{Q}_8$-charge	1	-1	1	-1	1	-1	1	-1	1	-1	1	-1	0	0	0

Table 2: Quantum numbers of the fields and sources

The presence of this global invariance $U(8)$ allows us to make use of a composite index $i \equiv (a, \mu)$, with $i = 1, \ldots, 8$. Thus, from now on, we set

$$(\phi^{ab}_{\mu}, \bar{\phi}^{ab}_{\mu}, \omega^{ab}_{\mu}, \bar{\omega}^{ab}_{\mu}) = (\phi^a_i, \bar{\phi}^a_i, \omega^a_i, \bar{\omega}^a_i) \,, \tag{108}$$

$$(M^{ab}_{\mu\nu}, \bar{M}^{ab}_{\mu\nu}, N^{ab}_{\mu\nu}, \bar{N}^{ab}_{\mu\nu}) = (M^a_{\mu i}, \bar{M}^a_{\mu i}, N^a_{\mu i}, \bar{N}^a_{\mu i}) \,, \tag{109}$$

$$(\vartheta^{ab}_{\mu}, \eta^{ab}_{\mu}, \rho^{ab}_{\mu}, \lambda^{ab}_{\mu}) = (\vartheta^a_i, \eta^a_i, \rho^a_i, \lambda^a_i) \,. \tag{110}$$

The trace of (107) defines a new $\mathcal{Q}_8$-*charge* whose nonvanishing values are displayed in table 2.

- Symmetries involving the Faddeev-Popov ghost fields and the localizing fields:

$$\mathcal{W}^{(1)}_i(S) = \int d^4x \left[\phi^a_i \frac{\delta S}{\delta \bar{c}^a} + c^a \frac{\delta S}{\delta \bar{\phi}^a_i} + M^a_{\mu i} \frac{\delta S}{\delta \Omega^a_\mu} - \vartheta^a_i \frac{\delta S}{\delta L^a} + \frac{\delta S}{\delta \lambda^a_i} \frac{\delta S}{\delta b^a} \right] = 0 \,, \tag{111}$$

$$\mathcal{W}^{(2)}_i(S) = \int d^4x \left[\bar{\omega}^a_i \frac{\delta S}{\delta \bar{c}^a} - c^a \frac{\delta S}{\delta \omega^a_i} - \bar{N}^a_{\mu i} \frac{\delta S}{\delta \Omega^a_\mu} + \eta^a_i \frac{\delta S}{\delta L^a} + \frac{\delta S}{\delta \rho^a_i} \frac{\delta S}{\delta b^a} \right] = 0 \,, \tag{112}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{W}^{(3)}_i(S) = \int d^4x \Bigg[& \left(\frac{\delta S}{\delta \lambda^a_i} + \omega^a_i \right) \frac{\delta S}{\delta \bar{c}^a} + \frac{\delta S}{\delta \eta^a_i} \frac{\delta S}{\delta b^a} + \left(\frac{\delta S}{\delta \bar{\phi}^a_i} - \rho^a_i \right) \frac{\delta S}{\delta L^a} \\ & + c^a \frac{\delta S}{\delta \bar{\omega}^a_i} - M^a_{\mu i} \frac{\delta S}{\delta \xi^a_\mu} + N^a_{\mu i} \frac{\delta S}{\delta \Omega^a_\mu} \Bigg] = 0 \,, \end{aligned} \tag{113}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{W}^{(4)}_i(S) = \int d^4x \Bigg[& \left(\frac{\delta S}{\delta \rho^a_i} - \bar{\phi}^a_i \right) \frac{\delta S}{\delta \bar{c}^a} + \frac{\delta S}{\delta \vartheta^a_i} \frac{\delta S}{\delta b^a} + \left(\frac{\delta S}{\delta \omega^a_i} - \lambda^{ab}_\nu \right) \frac{\delta S}{\delta L^a} \\ & - c^a \frac{\delta S}{\delta \phi^a_i} + \bar{N}^a_{\mu i} \frac{\delta S}{\delta \xi^a_\mu} - \bar{M}^a_{\mu i} \frac{\delta S}{\delta \Omega^a_\mu} \Bigg] = 0 \,. \end{aligned} \tag{114}$$

- The exact rigid symmetries associated to the horizon function:

$$R^{(1)}_{ij}(S) = \int d^4x \left(\phi^a_i \frac{\delta S}{\delta \omega^a_j} - \bar{\omega}^a_j \frac{\delta S}{\delta \bar{\phi}^a_i} + M^a_{\mu i} \frac{\delta S}{\delta N^a_{\mu j}} + \bar{N}^a_{\mu j} \frac{\delta S}{\delta \bar{M}^a_{\mu i}} + \vartheta^a_i \frac{\delta S}{\delta \rho^a_j} - \eta^a_j \frac{\delta S}{\delta \lambda^a_i} \right) = 0 \,, \tag{115}$$

$$R^{(2)}(S) = \int d^4x \left(\bar{\omega}^a_i \frac{\delta S}{\delta \omega^a_i} - \bar{N}^a_{\mu i} \frac{\delta S}{\delta N^a_{\mu i}} - \eta^a_i \frac{\delta S}{\delta \rho^a_i} \right) = 0 \,, \tag{116}$$

$$R^{(3)}(S) = \int d^4x \left(\bar{\omega}^a_i \frac{\delta S}{\delta \phi^a_i} - \bar{\phi}^a_i \frac{\delta S}{\delta \omega^a_i} - \bar{N}^a_{\mu i} \frac{\delta S}{\delta M^a_{\mu i}} - \bar{M}^a_{\mu i} \frac{\delta S}{\delta N^a_{\mu i}} - \eta^a_i \frac{\delta S}{\delta \vartheta^a_i} + \lambda^a_i \frac{\delta S}{\delta \rho^a_i} \right) = 0 \,. \tag{117}$$

- The global $U(6)$ invariance:

$$\mathcal{Q}_{IJ}(S) = 0 \,, \tag{118}$$

  with the operator $\mathcal{Q}_{IJ}$ given by (61).

- The exact rigid symmetries associated to the mass operator:

$$\mathcal{R}^{(\mathcal{N})}_{IJ}(S) = 0 \,, \tag{119}$$

  with the same operators $\mathcal{R}^{(\mathcal{N})}_{IJ}$, $\mathcal{N} = 1, 2, 3, 4$, already defined in (63).

- The diagonal $U(1)$ Ward identity:

$$\mathcal{W}^3(S) = -\partial^2 b \;, \tag{120}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{W}^3 \;\; \equiv \;\; & \partial_\mu \frac{\delta}{\delta A_\mu} + g\varepsilon^{ab}\bigg(A^a_\mu \frac{\delta}{\delta A^b_\mu} + b^a \frac{\delta}{\delta b^b} + c^a \frac{\delta}{\delta c^b} + \bar{c}^a \frac{\delta}{\delta \bar{c}^b} + \phi^a_i \frac{\delta}{\delta \phi^b_i} + \bar{\phi}^a_i \frac{\delta}{\delta \bar{\phi}^b_i} \\
& + \omega^a_i \frac{\delta}{\delta \omega^b_i} + \bar{\omega}^a_i \frac{\delta}{\delta \bar{\omega}^b_i} + \Omega^a_\mu \frac{\delta}{\delta \Omega^b_\mu} + \tau^a_\mu \frac{\delta}{\delta \tau^b_\mu} + \xi^a_\mu \frac{\delta}{\delta \xi^b_\mu} + M^a_{\mu i} \frac{\delta}{\delta M^b_{\mu i}} + \bar{M}^a_{\mu i} \frac{\delta}{\delta \bar{M}^b_{\mu i}} \\
& + N^a_{\mu i} \frac{\delta}{\delta N^b_{\mu i}} + \bar{N}^a_{\mu i} \frac{\delta}{\delta \bar{N}^b_{\mu i}} + \eta^a_i \frac{\delta}{\delta \eta^b_i} + \vartheta^a_i \frac{\delta}{\delta \vartheta^b_i} + \lambda^a_i \frac{\delta}{\delta \lambda^b_i} + \rho^a_i \frac{\delta}{\delta \rho^b_i} + L^a \frac{\delta}{\delta L^b} \\
& + B^a_I \frac{\delta}{\delta B^b_I} + \bar{B}^a_I \frac{\delta}{\delta \bar{B}^b_I} + G^a_I \frac{\delta}{\delta G^b_I} + \bar{G}^a_I \frac{\delta}{\delta \bar{G}^b_I} + Y^a_I \frac{\delta}{\delta Y^b_I} + \bar{Y}^a_I \frac{\delta}{\delta \bar{Y}^b_I} + X^a_I \frac{\delta}{\delta X^b_I} + \bar{X}^a_I \frac{\delta}{\delta \bar{X}^b_I} \bigg) \;.
\end{aligned} \tag{121}$$

- The off-diagonal SL(2,$\mathbb{R}$) identity:

$$\mathcal{D}(S) = \int d^4x \, \left(c^a \frac{\delta S}{\delta \bar{c}^a} + \frac{\delta S}{\delta L^a} \frac{\delta S}{\delta b^a} \right) = 0 \;. \tag{122}$$

- The diagonal gauge fixing:

$$\frac{\delta S}{\delta b} = \partial_\mu A_\mu \;. \tag{123}$$

- The diagonal anti-ghost equation:

$$\frac{\delta S}{\delta \bar{c}} + \partial_\mu \frac{\delta S}{\delta \Omega_\mu} = 0 \;. \tag{124}$$

We notice here that no diagonal ghost equation, similar to (48), holds when the horizon function is taken into account [38]. However, the set of Ward identities listed above forbids the presence of counterterms like

$$\int d^4x \, (\bar{\phi}^a_i \phi^a_i - \bar{\omega}^a_i \omega^a_i)(\bar{B}^A_I B^A_I - \bar{G}^A_I G^A_I) \;, \tag{125}$$

as well as of any other counterterm which would mix fields associated with the two different nonlocal operators (5) and (96). Therefore, in complete analogy with the case of the Landau gauge [53], the two operators (5) and (96) do not mix, due to the rich symmetry content of the resulting local action. Moreover, it turns out that the most general allowed counterterm can be in fact reabsorbed in the starting action, (102), through a redefinition of fields, parameters and sources, ensuring the renormalizability of the mass operator (5) in the presence of the horizon function (96).

References.

[1] D. J. Gross and F. Wilczek, Phys. Rev. Lett **30** (1973) 1343.

[2] H. D. Politzer, Phys. Rev. Lett. **30**, 1346 (1973).

[3] V. N. Gribov, Nucl. Phys. B **139**, 1 (1978).

[4] D. Zwanziger, Nucl. Phys. B **323**, 513 (1989).

[5] D. Zwanziger, Nucl. Phys. B **399**, 477 (1993).

[6] L. von Smekal, R. Alkofer and A. Hauck, Phys. Rev. Lett. **79**, 3591 (1997) [arXiv:hep-ph/9705242].

[7] L. von Smekal, A. Hauck and R. Alkofer, Annals Phys. **267**, 1 (1998) [Erratum-ibid. **269**, 182 (1998)] [arXiv:hep-ph/9707327].

[8] R. Alkofer and L. von Smekal, Phys. Rept. **353**, 281 (2001) [arXiv:hep-ph/0007355].

[9] R. Alkofer, C. S. Fischer and F. J. Llanes-Estrada, Phys. Lett. B **611**, 279 (2005) [arXiv:hep-th/0412330].

[10] C. S. Fischer and J. M. Pawlowski, Phys. Rev. D **75**, 025012 (2007) [arXiv:hep-th/0609009].

[11] J. M. Pawlowski, D. F. Litim, S. Nedelko and L. von Smekal, Phys. Rev. Lett. **93**, 152002 (2004) [arXiv:hep-th/0312324].

[12] A. Cucchieri, T. Mendes and A. R. Taurines, Phys. Rev. D **71**, 051902 (2005) [arXiv:hep-lat/0406020].

[13] P. O. Bowman *et al.*, arXiv:hep-lat/0703022.

[14] A. Cucchieri and T. Mendes, arXiv:0710.0412 [hep-lat].

[15] I. L. Bogolubsky, E. M. Ilgenfritz, M. Muller-Preussker and A. Sternbeck, arXiv:0710.1968 [hep-lat].

[16] S. Furui and H. Nakajima, Phys. Rev. D **73**, 094506 (2006) [arXiv:hep-lat/0602027].

[17] J. C. R. Bloch, A. Cucchieri, K. Langfeld and T. Mendes, Nucl. Phys. B **687**, 76 (2004) [arXiv:hep-lat/0312036].

[18] A. Cucchieri, Nucl. Phys. B **508**, 353 (1997) [arXiv:hep-lat/9705005].

[19] F. V. Gubarev, L. Stodolsky and V. I. Zakharov, Phys. Rev. Lett. **86**, 2220 (2001) [arXiv:hep-ph/0010057].

[20] F. V. Gubarev and V. I. Zakharov, Phys. Lett. B **501**, 28 (2001) [arXiv:hep-ph/0010096].

[21] H. Verschelde, K. Knecht, K. Van Acoleyen and M. Vanderkelen, Phys. Lett. B **516**, 307 (2001) [arXiv:hep-th/0105018].

[22] M. A. L. Capri, D. Dudal, J. A. Gracey, V. E. R. Lemes, R. F. Sobreiro, S. P. Sorella and H. Verschelde, Phys. Rev. D **73**, 014001 (2006) [arXiv:hep-th/0508216].

[23] M. A. L. Capri, D. Dudal, J. A. Gracey, S. P. Sorella and H. Verschelde, arXiv:0708.4303 [hep-th].

[24] G. Parisi and R. Petronzio, Phys. Lett. B **94**, 51 (1980).

[25] J. H. Field, Phys. Rev. D **66**, 013013 (2002) [arXiv:hep-ph/0101158].

[26] F. Giacosa, T. Gutsche and A. Faessler, Phys. Rev. C **71**, 025202 (2005) [arXiv:hep-ph/0408085].

[27] D. Dudal, H. Verschelde and S. P. Sorella, Phys. Lett. B **555**, 126 (2003) [arXiv:hep-th/0212182].

[28] R. E. Browne and J. A. Gracey, JHEP **0311**, 029 (2003) [arXiv:hep-th/0306200].

[29] D. Dudal, H. Verschelde, R. E. Browne and J. A. Gracey, Phys. Lett. B **562**, 87 (2003) [arXiv:hep-th/0302128].

[30] S. P. Sorella, Annals Phys. **321**, 1747 (2006) [arXiv:0704.3186 [hep-th]].

[31] D. Dudal, H. Verschelde, V. E. R. Lemes, M. S. Sarandy, S. P. Sorella and M. Picariello, Annals Phys. **308**, 62 (2003) [arXiv:hep-th/0302168].

[32] D. Dudal, H. Verschelde, J. A. Gracey, V. E. R. Lemes, M. S. Sarandy, R. F. Sobreiro and S. P. Sorella, JHEP **0401**, 044 (2004) [arXiv:hep-th/0311194].

[33] D. Dudal, H. Verschelde, V. E. R. Lemes, M. S. Sarandy, R. F. Sobreiro, S. P. Sorella and J. A. Gracey, Phys. Lett. B **574**, 325 (2003) [arXiv:hep-th/0308181].

[34] G. 't Hooft, Nucl. Phys. B **190**, 455 (1981).

[35] A. S. Kronfeld, G. Schierholz and U. J. Wiese, Nucl. Phys. B **293**, 461 (1987).

[36] A. S. Kronfeld, M. L. Laursen, G. Schierholz and U. J. Wiese, Phys. Lett. B **198**, 516 (1987).

[37] D. Dudal, J. A. Gracey, V. E. R. Lemes, M. S. Sarandy, R. F. Sobreiro, S. P. Sorella and H. Verschelde, Phys. Rev. D **70**, 114038 (2004) [arXiv:hep-th/0406132].

[38] M. A. L. Capri, V. E. R. Lemes, R. F. Sobreiro, S. P. Sorella and R. Thibes, Phys. Rev. D **74**, 105007 (2006) [arXiv:hep-th/0609212].

[39] M. A. L. Capri, V. E. R. Lemes, R. F. Sobreiro, S. P. Sorella and R. Thibes, Phys. Rev. D **72**, 085021 (2005) [arXiv:hep-th/0507052].

[40] F. Bruckmann, T. Heinzl, A. Wipf and T. Tok, Nucl. Phys. B **584**, 589 (2000) [arXiv:hep-th/0001175].

[41] J. A. Gracey, JHEP **0504**, 012 (2005) [arXiv:hep-th/0504051].

[42] K. Amemiya and H. Suganuma, Phys. Rev. D **60**, 114509 (1999) [arXiv:hep-lat/9811035].

[43] V. G. Bornyakov, M. N. Chernodub, F. V. Gubarev, S. M. Morozov and M. I. Polikarpov, Phys. Lett. B **559**, 214 (2003) [arXiv:hep-lat/0302002].

[44] T. Mendes, A. Cucchieri and A. Mihara, AIP Conf. Proc. **892**, 203 (2007) [arXiv:hep-lat/0611002].

[45] Y. Nambu, Phys. Rev. D **10**, 4262 (1974).

[46] G. 't Hooft, *"High Energy Physics EPS Int. Conference"*, Palermo 1975, ed. A. Zichichi.

[47] S. Mandelstam, Phys. Rept. **23**, 245 (1976).

[48] Z. F. Ezawa and A. Iwazaki, Phys. Rev. D **25**, 2681 (1982).

[49] D. Dudal, J. A. Gracey, V. E. R. Lemes, M. S. Sarandy, R. F. Sobreiro, S. P. Sorella and H. Verschelde, Braz. J. Phys. **37**, 406 (2007) [arXiv:hep-th/0501227].

[50] M. A. L. Capri, D. Dudal, J. A. Gracey, V. E. R. Lemes, R. F. Sobreiro, S. P. Sorella and H. Verschelde, Phys. Rev. D **72**, 105016 (2005) [arXiv:hep-th/0510240].

[51] J. A. Gracey, Phys. Lett. B **651**, 253 (2007) [arXiv:0706.1440 [hep-th]].

[52] M. A. L. Capri, V. E. R. Lemes, R. F. Sobreiro, S. P. Sorella and R. Thibes, arXiv:hep-th/0703273.

[53] M. A. L. Capri, D. Dudal, V. E. R. Lemes, R. F. Sobreiro, S. P. Sorella, R. Thibes and H. Verschelde, Eur. Phys. J. C **52**, 459 (2007) [arXiv:0705.3591 [hep-th]].

[54] R. Jackiw and S. Y. Pi, Phys. Lett. B **403**, 297 (1997) [arXiv:hep-th/9703226].

[55] M. A. L. Capri, D. Dudal, J. A. Gracey, V. E. R. Lemes, R. F. Sobreiro, S. P. Sorella and H. Verschelde, Phys. Rev. D **74**, 045008 (2006) [arXiv:hep-th/0605288].

[56] H. Min, T. Lee and P. Y. Pac, Phys. Rev. D **32**, 440 (1985).

[57] A. R. Fazio, V. E. R. Lemes, M. S. Sarandy and S. P. Sorella, Phys. Rev. D **64**, 085003 (2001) [arXiv:hep-th/0105060].

[58] O. Piguet and S. P. Sorella, "Algebraic renormalization: Perturbative renormalization, symmetries and anomalies," Lect. Notes Phys. **M28**, 1 (1995).

[59] I. M. Singer, Commun. Math. Phys. **60**, 7 (1978).

[60] R. F. Sobreiro and S. P. Sorella, arXiv:hep-th/0504095.